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Synopsis

Nutritional insufficiencies of omega-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFAs) may have adverse effects on brain development and neurodevelopmental outcomes. A recent meta-analysis of ten randomized controlled trials of omega-3 HUFAs reported a small to modest effect size for the efficacy of omega-3 for treating symptoms of ADHD in youth. Several controlled trials of omega-3 HUFAs combined with micronutrients (vitamins, minerals) show sizeable reductions in aggressive, antisocial, and violent behavior in youth and in young adult prisoners. Meta-analyses report efficacy for depressive symptoms in adults, and preliminary findings suggest anti-suicidal properties in adults, but studies in youth are insufficient to draw any conclusions regarding mood. Dietary adjustments to increase omega-3 and reduce omega-6 HUFA consumption are sensible recommendations for youth and adults based on general health considerations, while the evidence base for omega-3 HUFAs as potential psychiatric treatments develops.
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Introduction

Anyone who has observed children knows that their behavior changes dramatically when they are hungry. However, an important consideration is that children today may be consuming adequate or excessive calories, but their brains nonetheless can be starved of vital nutrients critical for optimal brain function, thus increasing risk for behavioral disorders and adverse developmental trajectories. Among these vital nutrients are iodine,
folates, B vitamins, iron, zinc, micronutrients and omega-3 essential fatty acids. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010 [1] address both specific nutrients and patterns of health eating to optimize physical health outcomes. Similarly, this article considers both specific nutrients and multiple interactive nutrients to optimize mental health outcomes.

The primary focus of the article is the effects of deficits in the dietary intake of omega-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFAs); the associated potential increase in risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and similar behavioral disorders, and the hypothesis that omega-3 HUFAs has some treatment efficacy. Deficits of omega-3 HUFAs in depressive and aggressive disorders are also especially relevant to children; however, the main body of observational data and treatment studies has been conducted in adults. The proposition that nutritional insufficiencies in early development may have residual behavioral and cognitive deficits merits critical consideration.

The first part of the article will introduce the reader to nutritional requirements for optimal brain development and the impacts of nutritional inadequacy during pregnancy on adverse long-term developmental outcomes. Basic science issues related to neurological function and essential fatty acid metabolism underlying these findings will be addressed in the context of the dramatic differences between current dietary patterns and those during hominid evolution. Finally observational and treatment studies will be assessed for the plausibility of the efficacy of nutritional treatments for psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents.

**Essential Nutrients and Risk of Deficiencies**

The peak vulnerability to harm from nutritional deficiencies occurs during pregnancy, when the central nervous system is first developing. The quality of the maternal diet is particularly dependent on the intake of micronutrients (such as vitamins A and B, choline, and folate, trace elements (such as iodine, iron, zinc, and copper), and HUFAs, especially docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and the omega-6 HUFA arachidonic acid (AA). These nutrients are especially critical during the fetal and early postnatal stage, when most areas of the brain are undergoing their most rapid development.

It is well-established that nutritional deficiencies (and excesses) may affect the infant brain and alter subsequent development and behavior permanently [2–4]. For example, the link between iodine deficiency and mental retardation is widely documented. In developing countries, about 38 million children are born at risk of iodine-associated mental retardation every year [5]. Deficiencies in iodine and iron (i.e., anemia) during infancy have been linked to a range of suboptimal developmental outcomes, including:

1. abnormal neuronal development [6]
2. disruptions in regulatory processes, such as the sleep-wake cycle [7]
3. sub-optimal performance in global measurements of cognition, motor skills, and social-emotional behavior [8–12]
4. mental retardation and cognitive deficits associated with reductions in learning capacity and productivity [6]
In animal models of nutritional deficiencies, a similar pattern of cognitive, motor, and behavioral changes is observed, along with alterations in dopaminergic function and lower dopamine levels in the cerebrospinal fluid compared to controls [13]. Iron deficiency also affects other neurotransmitters and other neuronal processes, including metabolism in hippocampus and striatum, myelination, dendritogenesis, and both gene and protein profiles [14–16]. HUFAs, including the omega-3 DHA, are similarly proposed to play a critical role during sensitive periods of neurodevelopment during early childhood and also in the regulation of cognitive function throughout the life span [17, 18]. While the beneficial effects of EPA and DHA for cardiovascular diseases and stroke are well established, their potential for preventing mild cognitive dysfunction and reducing the risk for Alzheimer’s disease require further evaluation in large long-term clinical trials [19].

The Lipid Substance of the Brain

About 50 to 60% of the dry weight of an adult brain is comprised of lipid, and at least 35% of the lipid content is made up of highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFAs). Given the high brain content of HUFAs, it is remarkable that these fatty acids are dietary essential: HUFAs cannot be synthesized de novo but must be either ingested directly from dietary sources or metabolized from essential polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) precursors [20–22]. These fatty acids are highly specialized, with very specific metabolic functions and unique biophysical properties.

The biosynthetic pathways and metabolic interactions among the omega-3 and omega-6 series of fatty acids are complex. The parent compounds for the large number of HUFAs are two PUFAs: α-linolenic acid (ALA) is the precursor for the omega-3 fatty acids, and linoleic acid (LA) is the precursor for the omega-6 fatty acids [23]. These two precursor nutrients are the only fatty acids that are definitely essential, in the sense that the human body has no way to synthesize them, and they must be ingested in the diet. Until the 1950s, α-linolenic acid and linoleic acid were collectively known as Vitamin F.

Linoleic acid (LA), the omega-6 precursor, is the most abundant PUFA in the Western diet. In addition to its role in the brain, the omega-6 series are vital for mammalian reproduction [24]. Linoleic acid is primarily sourced from practically every commercially manufactured foods in the market place; in particular, it is sourced from soybean oil (the most frequently consumed oil), corn oil, and sunflower oil [25]. Typical dietary intake of omega-6 PUFAs in Western diets are excessive and thought to be in the region of 12 to 17 grams daily [26]. Linoleic acid is a metabolic precursor to γ-linolenic acid (gamma-linolenic acid, GLA) and arachidonic acid [26], having been converted by an elongase and two desaturase enzymes. Arachidonic acid is particularly abundant in the lipids of inner cell membranes, is important in the vasculature [24], and plays a crucial role in the production of eicosanoids. Although arachidonic acid can be synthesized from linoleic acid, the main dietary sources of arachidonic acid are red meat and dairy products including eggs [27].

The omega-3 precursor α-linolenic acid is metabolized into EPA and DHA, which are considered the two major omega-3 fatty acids. α-linolenic acid is readily available in vegetable sources (especially green leafy vegetables, plants, vegetable oils, nuts and seeds
such as flax and canola). The richest direct source of EPA and DHA is marine fish (such as mackerel, salmon, herring and sardine) and seafood [23]. These two omega-3 fatty acids, EPA and DHA, are associated with many important functions related to neural activity, such as cell membrane fluidity, neurotransmission, ion channels, enzyme regulation, gene expression, and myelination [28, 29]. DHA alone makes up approximately 30% of the phosphoglycerides in the gray matter of the brain [30, 31] and is essential for optimal neuronal functioning [32]. Within brain tissues, DHA preferentially accumulates in growth cones, astrocytes, synaptosomes, myelin, and microsomal and mitochondrial membranes [33, 34]. Omega-3 fatty acids mediate a variety of key neurotransmitter functions, including serotonergic responsivity, signal transduction, and phospholipid turnover [21, 35, 36].

The eicosanoids, which can be derived from either omega-3 or omega-6 fatty acids, are a variety of compounds that are involved in the regulation of blood flow (vasodilatory prostacyclin), halting of blood flow in the case of injury (anti-thrombotic thromboxanes), the resolution of inflammation (anti-inflammatory prostaglandins), and tissue homeostasis [24, 26]. Diets which are depleted in omega-3 result in reductions of DHA in the brain and a simultaneous increase in the turnover of arachidonic acid to eicosanoids [37]. These effects can be reversed by adding omega-3 to the diet.

Eicosanoids have varying crucial yet complex functions in the brain and control over numerous bodily systems. A growing body of evidence suggests that inadequate omega-3 fatty acid levels during critical stages of neurogenesis may alter parameters of cell signalling, including within neurotransmitter systems, resulting in impairments in behavior, learning and cognition [38–41]. Eicosanoids have been shown to be involved in long-term potentiation, synaptic plasticity, spatial learning, and sleep induction; they also reduce neuroinflammation and have neuroprotective properties [36].

**Excess Linoleic Acid and the Dietary Balance of Omega-3 and Omega-6 HUFAs**

Both omega-3 and omega-6 fatty PUFA precursors are metabolized to their respective HUFAs by common enzyme pathways, which are influenced by many factors including diet, oxidative stress, alcohol, smoking, age and genetic factors [42–46]. These common pathways can be overloaded, leading to a bottleneck in the metabolism of both omega-3 and omega-6 HUFAs [47, 48]. The dietary balance of the ratio of omega-6 to omega-3 PUFAs therefore has important metabolic implications. For instance, excessive intake of the omega-6 linoleic acid, which is abundant in modern diets rich in vegetable oils, may inhibit the synthesis of the omega-3 α-linolenic acid to EPA and DHA, and thereby reduce the availability of EPA and DHA. An excessive dietary intake of the pro-inflammatory omega-6 HUFAs may reduce the synthesis and functioning of anti-inflammatory omega-3 compounds, leading to a tilt toward inflammatory processes such as cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, immunological conditions, and cancer [49, 50]. Similarly, in the brain, an excessive intake of omega-6 or an insufficient intake of omega-3 can potentially increase the risk of depression, speculatively by altering serotonergic and catecholaminergic neurotransmission [51–54].
The imbalance of omega-3 and 6 fatty acids present in modern diets today is a focal point of much scientific debate. Recent calculations estimate that omega-6 to omega-3 ratios in dietary intake have risen from about 1:1 to 2:1 to approximately 20:1 [55]. It has been suggested that these ratio increases are predominantly a result of the increased consumption of linoleic-rich soybean oil during the last century [25]. In a randomized clinical trial in which the intake of linoleic acid was selectively increased \((n = 221)\) from approximately 6 to 15 % of dietary energy, increased mortality was observed from both cardiovascular disease (Hazard ratio 1.70, 95% confidence interval 1.03–2.80, \(p = 0.04\)) compared to controls \((n =237)\) and coronary heart disease (Hazard ratio 1.74, 95% confidence interval 1.04 to 2.92, \(p = 0.04\)), which are findings consistent with other linoleic-selective trials [56] (see the Sydney Diet Heart Study updated meta-analysis for more information). Therefore, reducing omega-6 linoleic acid intake to ensure a good dietary balance of omega-3 and 6 fatty acids may be a key for optimal health outcomes.

Impact of Inadequate DHA Intake on Brain Development in Animals

A large body of research has confirmed the essential role of DHA in the development and function of the brain. The negative impact of inadequate DHA during critical periods of brain development has been well studied in animals and, to a lesser extent, in humans. Maternal nutritional deficiencies during neurogenesis and angiogenesis have long been associated with behavioral impairments in both animal models [57–59] and in humans [40, 60, 61]. It appears that HUFA insufficiency during lactation can lead to some irreversible changes [62], presumably due to impaired connectivity.

In animal studies, prenatal and postnatal DHA insufficiency has been associated with a variety of structural changes, such delayed neuronal migration, disrupted dendritic arborization, abnormal neuronal development in the hippocampus [52], and abnormalities in timed apoptosis. Neurochemical studies have shown alterations of several neurotransmission systems, including the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems [63]. The resulting altered or impaired connectivity may result in permanent disturbances [64]. Subsequent functional deficits include cognitive impairments, such as memory and learning [57] as well as deficits in emotional regulation and behavior, such as depression, anxiety, and aggression in animal models [65, 66]. Repletion of both omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids into the diet during lactation in animals restores the brain fatty acid composition and some parameters of neurotransmitter function [62], but only partially.

In humans, DHA insufficiency in utero has been hypothesized to be linked to impaired magnocellular neurite growth associated with dyslexia [67]. Some studies have also reported findings of abnormal omega-3 HUFA levels in the erythrocytes of children and young adults with ADHD [68–75]. In addition, a growing body of clinical research has reported improvements in symptoms of ADHD [76–78], depression [79], learning difficulties and/or dyslexia [80] following supplementation with omega-3/6 fatty acids relative to placebo.

There is very little research however investigating the potential effects of omega-3 intervention in healthy control children. One functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) imaging study reported changes in cortical attention networks in healthy boys following DHA
supplementation [81]. A recent randomized placebo-controlled study reported that DHA supplementation improved both reading and behavior in healthy but underperforming school children [82], although another clinical trial reported little or no effect of HUFA intervention compared to placebo on the cognitive ability and behavior of school children [83]. A more detailed review of the randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials in this area is provided later in the article.

Omega-3 interventions are more likely to demonstrate benefits among children with omega-3 deficiencies than among healthy children with omega-3 sufficiency. The collective findings from both animal and human studies has led researchers to postulate that deficits of omega-3 HUFAs during critical periods of brain development may increase the risk for neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ADHD and possibly predispose toward the later appearance of depressive and aggressive behaviors [54, 84, 85].

A Dietary Evolution: Unfortunate Consequences of Agricultural Developments

Crawford and others have argued that the fossil evidence indicates that the lacustrine (lake and shore) and marine food chains were being extensively exploited during the period when cerebral expansion took place, suggesting that the transition from the archaic to modern humans took place at the land/water interface. At the land/water interface in regions of hominid evolution, diets consumed from wild foods were lower in saturated fats [range 11–12 in percentage energy (en%)], higher in omega-3 HUFAs [2.26–17.0 grams per day (g/d)], lower in the omega-6 linoleic acid (range 2.3–3.6 en%), and a lower α-linolenic acid/linoleic acid (ALA/LA) ratio (range 1.12 to 1.64 g/d), indicating a lower omega-3/omega-6 ratio than contemporary diets [55]. The paleolithic diet is a modern dietary regimen which seeks to mimic the presumed diets of pre-agricultural hunter-gathers. Arguably, compared to modern Western diets consumed today, the paleolithic diets of our ancestors provided more DHA, which is a known key omega-3 constituent of the brain (and visual photoreceptor signalling systems). The shore-based theory has provided considerable evidence for our ancestors settling along the river-banks in Africa with access to fish, clams, frogs and seafood as their stable diet. Dr. Stephen Cunnane from the University of Sherbrooke and Dr. Kathy Stewart from the Canadian Museum of Nature in Ottawa have extensively studied fossil material excavated from numerous Homo habilis sites in eastern Africa which have revealed a bevy of chewed fish bones, particularly catfish. 172,173 Their theory is that a rich and secure shore-based diet fuelled and provided the essential nutrients to make our brains what they are today. Crawford and colleagues have also proposed that the availability of DHA was crucial to permit evolution of the human brain.[86, 87] DHA is both conserved and irreplaceable in neuronal signalling, and it is involved in the expression of several hundred genes [88], highlighting its unique and dominant place in brain evolution and biology in general.

The most dramatic and unfortunate changes from our paleolithic heritage of whole and unrefined foods are direct consequences of the Agricultural Revolution. Between the 17th and the end of the 19th century, and then continuing with a second wave after the World War II, new farming and technological changes brought the advent of mass food production,
which has resulted in the problematic “modern refined diet” consumed today [49, 89–91]. Contemporary Western diets also have low quantities of key micronutrients (minerals, vitamins and trace elements), amino acids, antioxidants, fibre, and helpful phytochemicals, and are overloaded with sodium and refined sugars and grain products that carry a high glycemic load [89].

Even seemingly healthy parts of the modern Western diet have progressively lost their nutrient value. In the 1970s, poultry and eggs were the major land-based sources of protein and omega-3 fatty acids, especially DHA, and poultry was considered a healthy lean alternative to fatty red meat [92]. However, a laboratory analysis of modern supermarket chickens revealed that their energy from fat now actually exceeds energy from protein, and that their omega-6/omega-3 ratio is 9:1 rather than the recommended 2:1 ratio [93]. The loss of omega-3 HUFAs from chicken may result from feeding farm animals with soy-based products that are relatively deficient in omega-3 HUFAs, and the use of severely cramped bird cages that prevent exercise and reduce mitochondria-rich muscle mass [93]. This shift toward excessive omega-6 and insufficient omega-3 HUFAs in the human diet is argued to have adverse health consequences [94].

Despite its critical biological role, essential fatty acids cannot be synthesized or stored by the body for very long periods of time, and therefore must be obtained in the diet, and so these modern dietary changes have significant biological consequences. The recent rise in obesity [95] and diabetes (World Health Organization 2002) in both children and adults is likely due to both the sedentary lifestyle and the excessive consumption of energy-dense refined foods rich in salt, sugar, and fats. “Type B malnutrition” is now recognized as a new type of malnutrition directly resulting multiple micronutrient depletion and very likely deriving from the globalization of the Western food systems [24]. Several scientific and governmental bodies have made dietary recommendations, including the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (2010), to increase intake of fish and seafood during pregnancy to prevent suboptimal brain development in utero and residual problems in cognitive and visual development [1]. In view of the prediction by the World Health Organization of a 50% increase in child mental ill-health by 2020, the promotion of optimal nutrient requirements for the developing brain warrants examination as a means to reduce the risk of potential developmental and functional consequences.

Inadequate HUFA Intake during Pregnancy on Human Developmental Outcome

Fish and seafood are the richest sources of omega-3 fats in the human diet, but also contain multiple nutrients that are beneficial to optimal brain development. Two major studies of inadequate seafood intake during pregnancy are offered here as examples to provide an overview of the potential impact of intrauterine nutrient inadequacies on behavioral and cognitive deficits later in childhood.

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a longitudinal study of health care outcomes with pregnant mothers and their children conducted at Bristol University in the U.K. In 1991, over 14,000 mothers were enrolled during pregnancy, and
the developmental and health trajectory of their children has been charted ever since [96]. Among the numerous nutritional factors examined, the effects of the 2004 Food Drug Administration (FDA) advisory to limit seafood intake during pregnancy was directly evaluated in order to determine whether eating seafood (i.e., exposure to trace methyl mercury) or avoiding seafood (risk of nutritional deficiencies) was associated with greater harm [97]. One purpose of the advisory was to protect against impaired verbal development. However, detrimental effects on verbal development were found among children whose mothers consumed less than 12 ounces (oz) of seafood per week (Odd Ratio= 1.48 for greater risk of low verbal IQ). Low maternal seafood intake during pregnancy was also associated with suboptimal outcomes for fine motor skills, communication, pro-social behavior, and social development scores [96]. A net effects analysis by the World Health Organization [98] and the FDA [99], found that the nutritional benefits of fish far exceed the toxicological effects of metal mercury. These findings may result in an update of the 2004 FDA advisory on EPA [97].

Another longitudinal study conducted in Australia, known as The Raine Study [100] followed 2,868 live births to age 14 and then assessed the adolescents for dietary patterns and ADHD diagnosis. Data were available for 1,799 adolescents, including 115 with a diagnosis of ADHD. The two main dietary patterns were assessed and categorized as “Healthy” and “Western.” The “Western” dietary pattern was correlated with higher intakes of total and saturated fat, salt, and refined sugars, and was inversely correlated with intake of folate, fiber and omega-3 fatty acids[100]. In contrast, the “Healthy” dietary pattern was positively correlated with fiber, folate, and omega-3 fatty acid intake and inversely correlated with the amount of refined sugars and the total fat/saturated fat ratio [100]. The results showed that an increased likelihood of an ADHD diagnosis was significantly associated with the Western dietary pattern, after adjustment for potential confounding variables from pregnancy to adolescence. The ADHD diagnosis was not associated with the Healthy dietary pattern. Clearly, firm conclusions cannot be drawn regarding the causal nature of dietary patterns on likelihood of ADHD because of the cross-sectional nature of the study design. The observations may also be bidirectional; that is, the diagnosed ADHD may be either indicative of poorer food choices or the Western diet may have promoted the expression of attention deficits. This study does however highlight the necessity for a closer inspection of the role of dietary patterns in ADHD [100].

**Effect of HUFA Supplementation in Healthy Children**

Early dietary intake of HUFAs, specifically during pregnancy and breastfeeding, have been associated with subsequent improvements in an array of functions, including visual acuity at age 12 months [101, 102], problem-solving ability in infants [103], and alterations in cortical attention networks in school children [81].

For example, the effects of DHA supplementation on attention networks in the brain have been examined in 38 healthy boys (ages 8 to 10 years) using fMRI [81]. Participants were randomly allocated to receive DHA (n = 12, dose: 400 mg or n = 14, dose: 1200 mg) or placebo (n = 12), although five children were lost to follow up, withdrawal or non-compliance. Their cortical brain activity was recorded at baseline and 8 weeks later. The
results found that DHA erythrocyte membrane composition increased by 47 to 70% at 8 weeks in comparison to the placebo group [81]. Both DHA dose groups had increased activation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and greater reductions in activation in the occipital and cerebral cortex during a sustained attention task compared to controls. Decreases in cerebellar activation were larger in the 1200 mg group compared to the 400 mg group [81]. DHA erythrocyte levels were positively associated with dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activation and negatively associated with reaction time (RT), which improved (that is, became faster) as brain function increased. This was the first study to demonstrate that dietary intake of DHA changed cortical attention networks in healthy boys.

Osendarp and colleagues [104] assessed the effects of randomization to a fortified drink containing either (a) a mix of micronutrient intervention (zinc, iron, vitamins A, B6, B12, and C, folate) alone, (b) DHA 88 mg and/or EPA 22 mg daily alone, (c) the micronutrient intervention plus DHA 88 mg and/or EPA 22 mg daily, or (d) placebo. Two groups of 6- to 10-year old school children were enrolled and classified as well-nourished (a group in Adelaide Australia, n=396) or marginally nourished (a group in Jakarta, Indonesia n=384 [104]. A total of 120 children completed the study. The micronutrient treatment resulted in significant increases in verbal learning and memory in the Australian group (estimated effect size: 0.23; 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.46) and similar effect was observed among Indonesian girls (estimated effect size: 0.32; 95% CI: −0.01 to 0.64). No effects were found on tests measuring general intelligence or attention. No effects of DHA+EPA on the cognitive tests were observed [104]. Overall, the authors concluded that micronutrient intervention can have beneficial effects on cognitive performance, even in well-nourished children. The failure of EPA and DHA to produce significant effects on cognitive function, especially in marginally nourished school children, may be accounted for by the very low combined dose of EPA and DHA in this study (DHA 88 mg and EPA 22 mg daily), compared to daily doses in the region of 1000 mg used in other supplementation trials.

Together, these two early reports on HUFA supplementation in healthy children provide preliminary evidence that DHA might result in increased activation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, thus improving the functioning of cortical attentional networks, and improved reaction times. Micronutrients, including vitamins and minerals, may improve verbal memory and learning, but might not improve attentional functions, in healthy children.

**HUFA Abnormalities in ADHD**

In children and adults with ADHD, abnormalities in fatty acid blood profiles have been reported [68, 72–75, 105], but it is unclear whether the observed irregularities in omega-3 and omega-6 HUFAs are due to low dietary intakes of HUFAs in ADHD and/or an abnormality in HUFA metabolism.

For example, Stevens et al. [70] reported 6- to 12-year old boys with ADHD both arachidonic acid and DHA levels were significantly lower than matched controls (n = 43) in addition approximately 40% of (n = 53) had excessive thirst and skin problems, which are classic signs of fatty acid deficiency from the animal literature. Combining the ADHD and
controls and then classifying them into “low” or “high” omega-3 and omega-6 groups, the researchers found that lower omega-6 concentrations were associated with several signs of fatty acid deficiency, such as excessive thirst, skin problems, frequent urination, rough and dry skin/hair, frequent colds and antibiotic use. The low omega-3 group were also found to have learning and behavioral difficulties, such as hyperactive-impulsive behavior, anxiety, temper tantrums and conduct disorder symptoms [106].

Another study by Antalis et al [68] compared 35 young adult males with ADHD to healthy controls, and found that the ADHD group had a higher total omega-6/omega-3 ratio (i.e., the sum of total omega-6 over the total of omega-3) and a 36% higher ratio of arachidonic acid/EPA in erythrocytes compared to the controls. Erythrocyte levels of arachidonic acid were also approximately 10% greater in the ADHD group compared to the control group. DHA levels were 53% lower in plasma and 36% lower in erythrocytes in the ADHD group compared to controls. An identical pattern was also observed for total omega-3 ratio. Plasma α-linolenic acid levels were greater in ADHD, but all α-linolenic acid metabolites were lower. Correlational analysis was conducted to assess the strength of the relationships between behavior and omega-3 fatty acid levels. The percentage of DHA in the phospholipid fraction of blood correlated with the ADHD symptoms (inattention $r = -0.47$, impulsivity/hyperactivity $r = -0.45$, and DSM-IV total ADHD scores $r = -0.047$). Similar trends were observed for total omega-3 fatty acid levels in both plasma phospholipids and erythrocytes. These findings suggest that lower omega-3 levels were associated with greater severity of ADHD-like symptoms.

Colter et al. [75] also assessed 11 adolescents with ADHD and 12 healthy controls for fatty acid levels and severity of behavioral symptoms. The ADHD group self-reported more checklist symptoms of fatty acid deficiency. Both total fat and saturated fat intake were positively associated with scores of oppositional, problematic and hyperactive behaviors. Adolescents with ADHD had lower blood concentrations of DHA and total omega-3 (α-linolenic acid, DHA, EPA and docosapentaenoic acid) levels and higher levels of the omega-6 linoleic acid. DHA levels were negatively correlated and total omega-6 levels were significantly positively correlated with inattention, behavioral problems, oppositional behavior, restlessness, and total DSM-IV symptoms on Conners’ parent scores. The diet records did not reveal any differences in total omega-3 fatty acid consumption, potentially suggesting that the lower DHA levels in ADHD may be due to higher oxidative metabolism rather than dietary intake [75]. Confounding variables in this study were group differences in vitamin and mineral supplementation (50% of the ADHD group, 25% of the controls) and in gender, which is relevant as males and females can differ in their metabolism of PUFAs [107].

Researchers in Taiwan have examined 58 children (aged 4–12 years) with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD compared to 52 controls. They found no differences in dietary patterns of the children with ADHD, except for higher intake of iron and vitamin C. The ADHD children were found to have lower linoleic acid, arachidonic acid and DHA fatty acid levels in red blood cells (and higher iron levels in their blood) compared to controls [105].
Across these studies on HUFA abnormalities in ADHD, ADHD and ADHD behaviors in youth appear to be characterized by physical symptoms characteristic of essential fatty acid deficiency, low levels of DHA and other omega-3 HUFAs, and a high levels of omega-6 HUFAs. Patients with low DHA levels were associated with more severe symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity, the hallmark symptoms of ADHD, and showed more learning problems and conduct disorder symptoms. Findings on arachidonic acid and omega-6 levels were more mixed, but there was a suggestion that omega-6/omega-3 ratio may be a marker for more ADHD symptoms. However, generalized essential fatty acid deficiencies are unlikely, as these subjects were replete with omega-6 linoleic acid. These studies raise the question of whether omega-3 fatty acid supplementation, even in the face of adequate omega-6 fatty acid levels, might ameliorate symptoms of ADHD and some associated physical signs of essential fatty acid insufficiency.

Most supplementation studies have utilized mixtures of omega-3 HUFAs and omega-6, γ-linolenic acid, making it difficult to isolate the potential effects of individual fatty acids. Some of the early research in children with ADHD focused on supplementation with DHA alone, and showed little or no therapeutic effect [108, 109]. Other clinical trials which have investigated supplementation with evening primrose oil or formulas rich in omega-6 in children with ADHD have also reported little or no effect on ADHD [110–112]. Very few trials employed comparable experimental designs, supplements, doses or duration of supplementation, and most studies were not adequately powered, so their non-significant findings and wide variations in findings are often difficult to interpret.

### Differential Effects of EPA and DHA in the Brain

Little is known about the biological role of EPA in the brain, especially regarding its impact on cognition and mood. There are some preliminary research studies reporting differential effects of EPA and DHA in relation to cognitive and emotional responses [113, 114].

#### Resting State EEG

Sumich and colleagues [113] reported differential associations between DHA and EPA erythrocyte levels and EEG components in children and adolescents with ADHD: DHA levels were associated with more fast activity (alpha activity during eyes open and beta during eyes closed resting states), and EPA levels were associated with more slow activity (theta during both eyes open and eyes closed resting states. No associations were found with omega-6 HUFA levels. Alpha activity was found to be positively associated with performance for language fluency involving semantic memory, while theta activity was negatively associated with verbal memory performance [113].

#### Emotion-Elicited Event-Related Potentials

Gow and colleagues [114] have reported the relationship between erythrocyte HUFA levels and event-related potential (ERP) responses to the presentation of happy, sad and fearful faces during an emotional processing task in a small sample of adolescent boys with ADHD. The authors created an ERP cognitive bias paradigm based on 2 premises (1) Beck’s theory of depression which postulated that individuals with depression gravitate towards negative...
schema and stimuli and (2) evidence which suggests that children with ADHD have difficulty correctly identifying the emotional expression of others especially in relation to fear and anger [115]. The authors therefore hypothesized that EPA (due to its association with regulating and improving mood) would be positively associated with a bias in the overt P300 response towards facial expressions of happiness relative to fear or sadness. The happy-fear cognitive bias was calculated by subtracting the midline frontal P300 amplitudes to fearful faces from those of happy faces (P300_{H-F}). A similar calculation was made for a happy–sad bias (P300_{H-S}). The findings showed there was a significant positive association between EPA levels and a cognitive bias in orientation to overt expressions of happiness, relative to both sad and fearful faces, as indexed by midline frontal P3 amplitude. In contrast, DHA levels were associated with the right temporal N170 response to fear.

**Memory-Related Event-Related Potentials In Healthy Fish-Eating Children**

To the best of our knowledge, only one study has investigated HUFA status and event-related potentials in healthy children. Boucher et al [116] examined the prenatal omega-3 fatty acid intake of the mothers of 154 children in the fish-eating Inuit community, and compared the cord plasma concentrations to the child’s subsequent memory functioning evaluated at a mean age of 11.3 years old. This prospective longitudinal study employed neurophysiologic data (event-related potentials during a continuous visual recognition task) and neurobehavioral measures of memory (Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children, 4th edition, and the California Learning Test Children’s version). Children with higher prenatal concentrations of DHA (as measured in cord plasma) displayed, at age 11, a shorter N4 ERP latency deflection and larger late positive component (LPC), which is a positive-going event-related potential component derived from EEG recordings and thought to be associated with recognition memory processes. Elevated DHA measures were related with enhanced N4 amplitudes, and positive associations were also observed between cord DHA and neurobehavioral performance on memory tasks.

Collectively, these three EEG studies provide preliminary evidence to suggest that EPA and DHA may be implicated in different functional roles related to features of cognitive and affect processing in ADHD.

Milte et al [117]. (2011) examined 75 children (ages 7–12 years) with symptoms of ADHD with or without learning difficulties in the context of a larger study. This study reports data only from the baseline time-point (after controlling for covariates). Pearson correlational analysis examined associations between literacy (word reading, spelling and vocabulary) and behavior (using the Conners’ Parent Rating Scales), and found that higher DHA (omega-3) predicted better word reading ($p < 0.001$), while higher omega-6 predicted poorer levels of reading, vocabulary, spelling and attention [117]. Higher levels of total omega-6 and arachidonic acid levels at baseline also predicted lower anxiety/shyness after 4 months. In a similar fashion, both increased levels of EPA and total omega-3 were associated with decreased anxiety/shyness. However, a key limitation of this study is that the correlation analyses were employed with no statistical correction for multiple testing, so it may be that these were spurious findings. The findings are provocative enough to warrant replication employing correction for multiple testing.
Individual HUFA Supplementation Trials in Youth with ADHD or ADHD-Type Symptoms

Several dietary supplementation trials with omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids have reported some improvement in ADHD symptoms, typically using either the Parent or Teacher-rated Conners’ scales and/or the Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scales as primary outcomes [76, 78, 118–120].

Omega 3/6 in Relation to Learning, Behavior, and Motor Skills

The Oxford-Durham study (2005) was arguably the landmark study linking omega-3 or omega-6 supplementation to improvements in behavior and concentration in underachieving mainstream school children [76]. Although not primarily concerned with ADHD, this examination of ADHD-like symptoms in children was a pivotal study in this field. This placebo-controlled double-blind randomized clinical trial (RCT) examined 117 school children, ages 5 to 12 years old, with untreated DSM-IV developmental coordination disorder (also known as dyspraxia, or informally as clumsiness). Although none of the children recruited had previously received a clinical diagnosis of ADHD, cognitive and behavioral ADHD-like symptoms are frequently associated with developmental coordination disorder, and 31% of the sample at baseline scored 2 standard deviations above the mean on the DSM-IV total score on the Conners’ Teacher Rating Scale (CTRS-L, which assessed each of 59 items of child behavior on a 4-point scale). The participants were treated with either fish oil (n = 60) or placebo (n = 57) for 3 months. The daily dose of 6 capsules provided a combination of omega-3 fatty acids (EPA 558 mg and DHA 174 mg) and omega-6 fatty acid γ-linoleic acid 60 mg, and vitamin E 9.6 mg (in the natural form, α-tocopherol). Placebo treatment consisted of olive oil capsules, which were carefully matched to the active treatment in both appearance and flavor. After 3 months, the placebo group was crossed over to the active supplement, and the active group continued with active treatment, for another 3 months [76]. Outcome variables included learning, literacy (including word reading and spelling), motor skills, and teacher ratings of behavior linked to ADHD.

Fish oil supplementation for 3 months did not improve motor skills in these children with developmental coordination disorder, but fish oil was found to produce significant improvements in reading, spelling and ADHD-like symptoms. The mean achievement scores at baseline for reading and spelling were 1 year below chronological age in both fish oil and placebo groups. Compared to controls, the fish oil group showed significant gains in reading age (9.5 ± 13.9 months vs. 3.3 ± 6.7 months for placebo, z = 2.87, p < .004), gains in spelling age (6.0 ± 11.4 months vs. 1.2 ± 5.0 months for placebo, z = 3.36, p < .001), and improved behavior (CTRS-L scores decreased from 75 ± 27 to 58 ± 28, p < .05 over three months of treatment. When the placebo group was crossed over to the active treatment, they showed similar improvements, while the active group continued to improve up to the end of the 6 month trial. Improvements for those children continuing on active treatment between 3 to 6 months were characterized by a gain in reading age of 13.5 ± 11.9 months and a gain in spelling age of 6.2 ± 6.8 months. Those children in the active treatment group demonstrated improvements above their chronological age (reading age gain 10.9 ± 11.8 months; spelling age gain: 5.3 ± 6.9 months). The placebo-to-active crossover group demonstrated behavioral
improvements in teacher ratings (CTRS-L global scales) at 6 months, comparable to those of the active group after 3 months.

The findings from the Oxford-Durham trial suggest that omega-3/6 supplementation may help children with ADHD-like behavioral symptoms and educational difficulties associated with developmental coordination disorder.

**Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trials in School Children**

Other trials have attempted to replicate the findings of the Oxford-Durham study in either children with symptoms of ADHD or children with actual clinical diagnoses of ADHD, with less success. For example, in the well-known Adelaide trial, Sinn and Bryan (2007) conducted a randomized placebo-controlled double-blind study with 7- to 12-year olds who did not have a clinical diagnosis of ADHD but were entered into the study if they scored 2 standard deviations above the mean on Conners’ Abbreviated ADHD Index [78]. These psychostimulant-free children were randomly allocated into one of three groups: (1) an active group ($n = 41$) who were given the same fish oil supplements used in the Oxford-Durham trial but with an additional multivitamin/mineral supplement containing daily recommended amounts, (2) a fish oil only group ($n = 36$), and (3) a placebo group ($n = 27$).

There were no significant differences between any of the treatment groups on Conners’ Teacher Rating Scale scores at either 15 or 30 weeks, but several differences were observed on the Conners Parents’ Rating Scale (CPRS). Parent ratings at 15 weeks showed mostly moderate effect sizes in the two HUFA groups compared to placebo in 9 out of 14 symptom subscales, including DSM-IV inattentive subscale (effect size: 0.61), Conners ADHD Index (ES: 0.59), CPRS cognitive problems and inattention (ES: 0.52), DSM-IV total (ES: 0.49), Conners Global Index restless/impulsive (ES: 0.45), Oppositional (ES: 0.43), Conners Global total (ES: 0.39), DSM-IV hyperactive/impulsive subscale (ES: 0.20), and CPRS hyperactivity (ES: 0.17). The effects on hyperactivity/impulsivity were statistically significant despite small effect sizes, especially in comparison to the larger effect sizes on cognitive measures. When the placebo group crossed over to the active fish oil plus micronutrient treatment at week 15, parent ratings showed additional significant improvements between weeks 15 and 30 (on 9 of 14 subscales), but once again no improvement was observed by the teachers. There were no significant differences in children treated with or without the supplemental micronutrients on parent or teacher ratings, suggesting that the therapeutic effects on ADHD were due to the fish oil, and that the vitamin-mineral supplementation did not add to the fish oil effect. However, the doses of micronutrients were quite low (at or below Recommended Daily Allowances), which may have accounted for the negative findings. The authors interpreted the parent ratings as more reliable than the teacher ratings for a number of reasons, including: (1) there was a high teacher turnover, (2) classrooms being taught by different teachers on different days, (3) long vacations and service leaves, and (4) children moving to different schools during their enrollment in the study.

During the Adelaide trial, Sinn et al [77] also conducted neuropsychological assessments of cognition in the same sample of children. In the HUFA-treated groups, significant
improvements were observed in the ability to switch and control attention (as measured by the Creature Counting Task) at 15 weeks compared with placebo (effect size 0.43), and a similar change was seen in the placebo group after switching to active treatment between 15 and 30 weeks (effect size 0.93, \( p < 0.001 \)). The observed improvements in cognitive performance were found to mediate the parent-rated improvements in hyperactivity/impulsivity and attention scores. However, no significant improvements were noted in any other cognitive test in a large assessment battery.

In short, the Oxford-Durham study found that fish oil did not improve motor skills in children with developmental coordination disorder, but did improve reading, spelling, and ADHD-like symptoms such as inattention, hyperactivity, and oppositional behavior. The Adelaide trial, which was the replication by Sinn and colleagues, in children with symptoms of ADHD confirmed that fish oil improved both inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms, but neuropsychological testing showed only very limited areas of cognitive improvement.

Johnson et al [120] conducted a randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial with 75 youths (8–18 years old, 64 boys) with ADHD (35 with the combined type and 40 with the inattentive type) to evaluate the efficacy of omega-3/6 fish oil supplementation in reducing core symptoms of ADHD and to establish subtypes of responders based on a detailed evaluation of symptoms and comorbid problems. The study used the same formula and dose of fish oil as well as the one-way crossover (the placebo group was crossed over at 3 months into the active group for another 3 months) that was used in the Oxford-Durham and the Adelaide studies. There were 37 randomized to the active group and 38 in the placebo group. The investigators carried out a medical examination including psychiatrists’ assessment of diagnosis and comorbidity using DSM-IV criteria. The two primary outcome measures were the investigator-rated ADHD Rating Scale IV-Parent version (which has been validated as a clinician-rated parent interview to allow evaluation of symptom severity across different settings as well as clinicians’ overall experience of the patients) and the Clinical Global Impression (CGI) severity scales [120]. Overall, the findings of this study were negative, with a lack of statistically significant differences in either of the outcome measures between active and placebo groups at 3 or 6 months. In a post hoc analysis of the diagnostic subtypes, a higher number of responders were found in the inattentive subtype group (\( p = .03 \)) compared to the ADHD combined type group. The inattentive group was more likely to have a comorbid developmental disorder (e.g., reading and writing disorder, learning disability or autistic traits). None of the responders had comorbid conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, depression or anxiety [120]. It is unclear why the subgroup of children with ADHD-Inattentive Type benefited from the omega-3/6 intervention, but a similar pattern was observed in the Adelaide trial, warranting closer attention to this potential finding of improved attention in future clinical trials.

**Omega 3/6 Relation to Dyslexia**

Richardson and Puri [119] conducted an earlier 12-week randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study of 41 previously undiagnosed children (aged 8–12) with high ADHD symptom ratings (according to DSM-IV criteria) and specific learning difficulties, including
dyslexia [119]. The active treatment group \((n = 22)\) received omega-3 (EPA 186 mg, DHA 480 mg) and omega-6 (\(\gamma\)-linolenic acid 96 mg, cis-linoleic acid 864 mg, arachidonic acid 42 mg) HUFAs, vitamin E 60 IU, and thyme oil 8 mg; and the placebo group \((n = 19)\) received olive oil. At the end of 12 weeks, the treatment group had significantly lower scores than the placebo group on inattention (effect size 0.61) and a global behavioral scale (effect size 0.58), but not on other measures. However, given the large number of measures, very few positive findings, lack of adequate power, and a questionable control (the olive oil placebo contained bioactive properties), it is unclear whether any firm conclusions can be drawn from this study.

**Omega 3/6 Studies Relating to Attention and Behavior**

Stevens et al [121] conducted a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study with omega-3 or omega-6 HUFA supplementation in 50 children (ages 6–13 years old) with either a clinical or suspected diagnosis of ADHD. The parents completed a fatty acid deficiency questionnaire, and children who were rated as having 4 or more symptoms of fatty acid deficiency were recruited for the study. The fatty acid deficiency questionnaire included thirst, frequent urination, dry hair, dandruff, dry skin, brittle nails and follicular keratosis, which parents rated on a scale of 1–4; Stevens and colleagues had previously used this questionnaire and found significantly higher scores \((p=0.0009)\) in an ADHD compared to a control group [70]. The children with both ADHD and fatty acid deficiency symptoms were randomized to receive either an active treatment (DHA 80 mg, EPA 80 mg, arachidonic acid 40 mg, \(\gamma\)-linolenic acid 96 mg, and Vitamin E 24 mg daily) or placebo (olive oil 6.4 mg) for 4 months. HUFA supplementation resulted in a 50% increase in plasma and erythrocyte levels of EPA, DHA and vitamin E. HUFA treatment was significantly better than placebo on only 2 out of 16 measures: parent-rated conduct problems \((-42.7 \text{ vs. } -9.9\%, \ n = 47, \ p = .05\) and teacher-rated attention \((-14.8 \text{ vs. } +3.4\%, \ n = 47, \ p = .03\)\). There were significant correlations between higher EPA levels and reduced parent-rated disruptive behavior scores \((r = 0.38, \ n = 31, \ p < 0.05)\), and similarly, correlations between higher EPA or DHA levels with reduced teacher-rated disruptive behavior \((r = 0.49, \ n = 24, \ p < 0.05)\). Oppositional behavior scores also showed significant improvements. Although these findings were not strong, this study suggests that HUFA supplementation may improve both attention and behavior in children with ADHD or ADHD-like symptoms.

**Pharmacological Intervention and Omega-3 HUFAs for ADHD**

There are few studies comparing omega-3 with standard pharmacological treatment or exploring the plausibility of HUFAs as an adjunct therapy for ADHD. Perera et al [122] recruited 98 children (ages 6–12 years) whose parents reported that methylphenidate produced no improvement in either behavior or learning and randomly assigned them to receive either an omega-3/6 combination (fish oil 296 mg, evening primrose oil 181 mg) \((n = 48)\) or placebo \((n = 46)\) containing sunflower oil in an identical capsule. The children continued their methylphenidate treatment \((0.7 \text{ to } 1.0 \text{ mg/kg/d})\) and home/school-based behavioral interventions throughout the 6-month study period. Both treatment groups also took unspecified micronutrients to guard against any other potential deficiencies. HUFA
treatment improved parent ratings of both ADHD behavior symptoms (aggressiveness, fighting, restlessness, inattention, easy anger, impulsiveness waiting for turn, cooperation) and learning (completing work, academic performance) more than placebo in 5 out of 11 measurements at 3 months and in all 11 measurements at 6 months. However, HUFA treatment did not improve distractibility scores. The largest significant improvements in behavior were reported for aggressiveness (effect size 0.98) and restlessness (effect size 1.11).

Harding et al [123] (2003) recruited 20 children (ages 7–12 years) with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD and non-randomly allocated them (by parental choice) to methylphenidate (n = 10) or a dietary supplementation (n = 10) consisting of essential fatty acids, vitamins, minerals, amino acids, phospholipids, probiotics and phytoneutrins for a period of 4 weeks. Mean daily doses of methylphenidate were not reported, and parent ratings were collected but not reported. A nonstandard continuous performance test was employed. No differences were found between methylphenidate and the dietary supplementation, and the authors inferred that the dietary supplementation and methylphenidate were equivalent in effectiveness. However, because there was no placebo group, it is possible that the nonstandard continuous performance testing was unable to measure any clinical change, even by the methylphenidate. This small open-label study does not permit any inferences about effectiveness.

Meta-analyses and Reviews of Clinical Efficacy of Omega-3 HUFAs in ADHD

To examine the clinical efficacy of omega-3 and omega-6 interventions in child ADHD, Bloch and Qawasmi [124] conducted a recent meta-analysis of 10 well-designed trials involving 699 children. To represent effects sizes, the standard mean difference (SMD) was chosen as the summary statistic for meta-analysis and calculated by pooling the standardized mean improvement of each study using RevMan 5 (The Cochrane Collaboration). The exact methodology was applied for the secondary analysis to test the effect of omega-3 HUFAs on symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity/ impulsivity independently.

This meta-analysis found that omega-3 supplementation had a small but statistically and clinically significant effect in the treatment of ADHD (effect size SMD = 0.31, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.47, z = 4.04, p < .001). The meta-analysis demonstrated also similar effect sizes of omega-3 supplementation in the treatment of both the inattention (SMD = 0.29, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.50, z = 2.63, p < .009) and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptom clusters (SMD = 0.23, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.40, z = 2.78, p < .005). When the different omega-3 fatty acids were considered separately, higher doses of EPA (which collectively ranged from 80 to 750 mg daily) compared to α-linolenic acid and DHA were significantly but modestly correlated with omega-3 efficacy in the treatment of ADHD (β = 0.36, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.72, t = 2.30, p = .04, R² = 0.37) [124]. Doses of other omega-3 HUFAs such as DHA and α-linolenic acid were not significantly related to efficacy (DHA: β = 0.24, 95% CI 0.54 to 1.02, t = 0.70, p = .50; α-linolenic acid: β = −1.71, 95% CI −4.62 to 1.19, t = −1.33, p = .22). The effect size of 0.2 to 0.3 for omega-3 fatty acid supplementation was modest in comparison to standard
pharmacological interventions for ADHD: The effect size for psychostimulants is about 0.8 (where 0.2 to 0.3 is small, 0.3 to 0.5 is medium, and 0.6 to 0.8 or more is a large effect size).

Bloch and Qawasmi raised a critical point regarding adequate power and sample size [124]. They noted that, in order to have sufficient power (β = 80%, 2-tailed α = 0.05) to detect a significant benefit (effect size of 0.31), clinical trials of omega-3 intervention compared to a placebo would need a sample size of approximately 330 children. Therefore, the actual sample sizes in the clinical trials to date are considerably under-powered and likely to account for the inconsistent findings in the literature to date. Taking into consideration the relatively mild side effect profile, the authors concluded that it may be reasonable to use omega-3 fatty supplementation to augment traditional pharmacologic interventions or to treat youths whose families decline other psychopharmacologic options, despite the evidence of only moderate efficacy [124].

In summary, these clinical studies have been criticized by reviewers, such as the Cochrane Collaboration, as both inconsistent and fragmentary [125]. The present article has reviewed several randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trials and open-label or pilot studies on omega-3 supplementation in child literature. We recognize the wide variation in design and methodological issues, as well as a range of formulations, doses, and durations of supplementation: All of these factors have implications for interpretation and replication. Some improvements in behavior, concentration, and literacy have been reported following supplementation with HUFA in various populations, including underachieving mainstream school children with developmental coordination disorder and associated ADHD-like symptoms [76], community samples of children with ADHD symptoms [77, 78] and youth with verified clinical diagnoses of ADHD [122]. The neurophysiological and imaging research on HUFAs in children is extremely limited, [79, 106–108] with only two studies on ADHD. Some evidence suggests abnormal erythrocyte fatty acids levels of children and young adults with ADHD [68, 71–75]. To the best of our knowledge, there are no published HUFA supplementation trials in adults with ADHD. Despite several reports of HUFA benefits for symptoms of ADHD in children, 5 trials have found little or no effect [108, 110–112, 126]. Bloch and Qawasmi’s recent meta-analysis [124] (2011), which found some efficacy of EPA in improving ADHD symptoms in children, highlighted the very valid point that available studies have small sample sizes and lack the statistical power to demonstrate a substantive effect size.

Future recommendations include carefully designed clinical trials with longer supplementation periods (i.e., 6 months or more) and adequate sample sizes conducted in both children and adults with ADHD. Sensitive measures, such as event-related potentials combined with fMRI (due to their temporal and spatial precision, respectively) may be better suited to capture changes in cortical function.

**HUFA’s and Youth with Aggressive or Conduct-Disordered Related Behaviors**

In addition to the putative role of HUFAs in ADHD, there is accumulating evidence that omega-3 HUFA and other micronutrient (vitamins and minerals) deficits may also be linked
to antisocial and aggressive behaviors [127–131]. Given the comorbidity of conduct disorder in ADHD, coupled with the over-representation of ADHD in incarcerated adults [132] the use of HUFAs for treating aggressive and delinquent behaviors deserves serious examination.

In a recent clinical study, Gow et al [133] recruited a sample of 29 young male adolescents (ages 12–16 years) with ADHD and found a significant association between low EPA levels and high scores of callous-unemotional traits ($r = -0.597, p = 0.009$), as assessed by the Inventory of Callous-Unemotional (CU) Traits [134, 135]. The ADHD group also showed a correlation between high callous-unemotional scores and oppositional behaviors (Conners’ Parent Rating Scale subscale, $r = 0.464, p = 0.011$) and with low total omega-3 levels ($r = -0.498, p = 0.027$). Though not quite statistically significant, the ADHD group also showed trends towards a correlation between low DHA levels and high callous-unemotional scores ($r = -0.436, p = 0.054$) as well as a trend toward an association of low total omega-3 levels and antisocial behaviors (measured by the Antisocial Process Screening Device). This study suggests a link between low levels of EPA and high callous-unemotional behavior related to conduct disorder in male children with ADHD. Callous-unemotional personality traits are known to represent a distinct developmental vulnerability to persistent antisocial behavior [136, 137]. Further research is needed to investigate whether early intervention with EPA may benefit youths with callous-unemotional traits and help prevent the subsequent emergence of conduct disorder.

**HUFAs and Young Adult Prisoners**

Gesch et al [127] conducted a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial on the effects of combined supplementation of HUFAs and micronutrients in a population of 231 young adult prisoners (ages 18 and over) treated for a minimum of two weeks (mean duration 142 days). The nutrient supplementation consisted of linoleic acid 1260 mg, γ-linolenic acid 160 mg, EPA 80 mg, DHA 44 mg daily, and a broad spectrum of vitamins and minerals at recommended daily levels. The results revealed that nutrient supplementation (N=172) at routine low daily doses produced a marked reduction (35 to 37% vs. 7 to 10% in the placebo group) in antisocial behavior and violent offenses for the active group in comparison to baseline. This landmark study demonstrated that a nutrient supplement combining HUFAs and micronutrients can have a pronounced effect on the problem behaviors in a prison population of young adults (18 years old and up). This study is instructional in showing that nutritional supplementation can affect major antisocial and aggressive behaviors, but it does not isolate the role of HUFAs in producing this benefit.

The findings of Gesch et al. [127] were later replicated and confirmed in a randomized controlled trial in the Netherlands of 221 young adult prisoners (mean age 21.0, range 18–25 years) who received comparable nutritional supplementation (N=115) over a period of 1–3 months [138]. This study produced similar findings of a nearly 30% reduction in major behavioral and conduct incidents [138].

The efficacy of nutritional supplementation, especially the combination of HUFAs with vitamins and minerals at routine doses, in producing relatively rapid reductions in major misconduct has now been shown in these two large randomized double-blind placebo-
controlled studies in young adult prisoners. Future studies of HUFA and micronutrient supplementation in prison populations could assess for comorbid ADHD and mood disorders. This may determine whether HUFAs produce a direct effect on conduct and aggression or whether the observed HUFA effects are mediated by improvements in ADHD or mood. These findings highlight the critical importance of nutrition and the potentially powerful impact that simple dietary interventions involving HUFAs (and micronutrients) can have in improving antisocial and major misbehavior in young adult prison populations. However, more clinical research is needed in youths with ADHD and/or comorbid conduct disorder to establish whether HUFAs with or without micronutrients may be a safe and effective intervention in youth at risk for the development of more serious delinquent, violent and criminal behaviors.

**Omega-3 HUFA Supplementation Trials in Youth and Adults with Depression**

Several lines of evidence in adults support the notion that low omega-3 HUFA deficits are associated with depression and that omega-3 HUFA supplementation can treat depression [79, 139, 140]. Other ecological studies by our research group on negative affect in adult populations are informative, but do not establish causation because of their correlational nature [141–143]. For example, a cross-national ecological study reported a highly significant association between low seafood consumption and low maternal milk DHA composition with a 50-fold greater risk for postpartum depression ($r = -0.81, p = 0.0001$) [143]. More broadly, in comparing countries with the lowest and highest consumption of seafood, low omega-3 HUFA intake is associated with a 65-fold higher lifetime risk for depression ($r = -0.84, p = 0.0001$) [144], a 30-fold higher risk for bipolar disorder ($r = -0.80, p = 0.0003$) [145], and a 10-fold higher risk of death from homicide ($r = -0.63, p = 0.0006$) [146].

**Dietary Intake in Youth with Depressive Symptoms**

Only one study provides comparable data in youth. A cross-sectional study evaluated dietary intake data from food frequency questionnaires among 3,067 boys and 3,450 girls (ages 12 to 15) in relation to their depression rating scores using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD) [147]. Boys with depressive symptoms had a lower mean value of EPA, DHA, vitamin B6, and folate. It is uncertain why this was not observed in girls, but possibilities include a greater heterogeneity of contributing causes to girls’ depressive symptoms or a more efficient endogenous conversion of α-linolenic acid to EPA among females compared to males [148]. For girls, higher intake of B vitamins was positively associated with EPA and DHA intake. Fewer depressive symptoms also appeared associated with higher dietary intakes of riboflavin (in girls), folate, pyridoxine (vitamin B6), and (in girls) riboflavin (but not with vitamin B12). In girls, but not in boys, higher riboflavin intakes were associated with fewer depressive symptoms (OR [95% CI], 0.85 [0.67, 1.08]; $p$ for trend = .03). In boys and girls, higher folate and pyridoxine (vitamin B6) intakes were associated with lower risk of depressive symptoms. No clear association was seen with vitamin B12 intake [147]. These findings suggest that lower EPA and DHA levels are associated with depressive symptoms in boys but not girls, and that combined vitamins
and mineral status may be important than HUFAs to prevent depressive symptoms in youth. In another study, an apparent association between HUFAs and depression scores in an adolescent population disappeared after adjusting for lifestyle confounders [149].

**Omega-3 in Children with Depression**

The only randomized double-blind placebo-controlled monotherapy study thus far to investigate omega-3 HUFAs in childhood depression was conducted by Nemets et al [79]. These researchers examined omega-3 HUFAs (EPA 400 mg and DHA 200 mg daily) in 20 children (ages 6 to 12 years) who completed at least a 1 month trial and were treated for a total of 16 weeks. The findings reported strong statistically significant effects of omega-3 fatty acids. By week 8, omega-3 HUFA treatment was superior to placebo (olive oil) on several depression scales: Greater than 50% reductions in Childhood Depression Rating Scale (CDRS) scores were observed in 7 of 10 children treated with omega-3 HUFAs and in none of the 10 placebo-treated children (p= 0.003, Fisher’s exact test); and remission (CDRS score of less than 29 at the study endpoint) was observed in 4 of the omega-3 HUFA-treated children (p > 0.05). Further research in children and adolescents is needed with larger sample sizes to pursue this promising lead.

**Omega-3 in Adults with Depression**

Studies in adult depression have examined the use of omega-3 HUFAs as monotherapy or adjunctive therapy [150–152]. In addition, several meta-analyses and review articles have evaluated the efficacy of omega-3 HUFAs in reducing depressive symptoms [152–158]. The overall conclusion is that, much in like the ADHD literature, significant heterogeneity is reported in the omega-3 HUFA formulation, depression severity, experimental design, and study populations in most of these studies [153]. In these studies, only preparations containing more than 50% EPA appear to be consistently effective [155, 158, 159]. Another source of heterogeneity in several meta-analyses may be the inclusion of negative trials of subjects without clinically significant depression; the severity of depressive symptoms at study entry is a major determinant of the ability to detect efficacy of any antidepressant treatment [160]. Meta-analyses of omega-3 HUFA trials that distinguish clinical and non-clinical population populations report antidepressant effects among those subjects who have significant depressive symptoms [157]. Given the heterogeneity in design and dose formulation, it is difficult to determine if omega-3 fatty acids are effective as monotherapies alone or only as adjunctive therapies in treating major depression. Ultimately, omega-3 HUFA monotherapy may be attractive for child and adolescent populations in view of their general health benefits, low side effect profile, and reports of efficacy in treatment-resistant depression, but caution should be exercised as data are insufficient to support this recommendation for treating major depression in youth.

**Omega-3 and Suicide**

Several studies in adults suggest that omega-3 HUFAs might have some clinical value in reducing suicidal thinking and attempts [161, 162]. Sublette and colleagues [163, 164] demonstrated that low DHA plasma levels strongly predicted future suicide risk and were associated with both hyperfunction of the limbic forebrain and hypofunction of the parietal
and temporal cortex. In a case control study of 1,600 active duty US military, low DHA status was a significant risk factor for suicide death [162]. All US military personnel in this study had low omega-3 HUFA levels in comparison to North American, Australian, Mediterranean, Chinese and other Asian civilian populations. These US military personnel had a significantly higher odds of a suicide attempt (OR 4.8, 95% CI 1.7 to 14.3, \( p < .0003 \)) compared to the highest quartile [165] of the Chinese. In view of these promising data in adults, a $10 million trial on the efficacy of omega-3 HUFAs (4 grams daily) for the prevention of significant suicidal behaviors among United States Military Veterans is currently being conducted at the Medical College of South Carolina; it is being conducted in collaboration with National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) and funded by the Defense Medical Research Program at the United States Department of Defense. To the best of our knowledge, no trials of omega-3 fatty acids and suicide attempt have yet been conducted in youth.

**Omega-3 HUFA Prevention of Psychosis in High-Risk Youth**

Among the most interesting new developments is the potential for omega-3 HUFA treatment is to prevent adolescents at high risk for psychosis from transitioning to schizophrenia. In a study of 81 adolescents at ultra-high risk for psychotic disorder [166], participants were randomized to omega-3 PUFA (1.2 grams daily) or placebo for a 12-week active intervention period, followed by a 40-week monitoring period. At the end of the 12-month study, transition to a psychotic disorder occurred in only 2 of 41 individuals (4.9%) in the omega-3 group, but in 11 of 40 (27.5%) in the placebo group (\( p = 0.007 \)). For example, the reduction in transition to psychosis was reduced by 22.6% in that 12-month period (95% confidence interval 4.8 to 40.4). The omega-3 HUFA intervention significantly reduced positive symptoms (\( p = .01 \)), negative symptoms (\( p = .02 \)), general symptoms (\( p = .01 \)), and improved functioning (\( p = .002 \)) in comparison to the placebo group. Changes in intracellular phospholipase A2 (PLA2s) activity (key enzymes in phospholipid metabolism which release fatty acids from the second carbon group of glycerol) and erythrocyte membrane fatty acids comparing the omega-3 HUFAs vs. placebo groups were investigated as potential mechanism of action. The levels of membrane omega-3 and omega-6 PUFAs and in PLA\(_2\) (from pre- to post-treatment) were significantly related to functional improvement, as indicated by increased Global Assessment of Functioning scores between baseline and the study endpoint at 12 weeks. Supplementation with omega-3 PUFA also resulted in a significant decrease in inPLA\(_2\) activity. This study is the first to demonstrate that a 12-week intervention trial with omega-3 fatty acids significantly reduced the transition rate from the prodromal stage to psychosis, and furthermore resulted in significant functional and symptomatic improvements during the entire follow-up period at 12 months [166]. Replication of these findings is currently being attempted in a multinational multisite trial.

**Clinical Recommendations in relation to HUFAs**

Clinicians can currently be guided by the 2006 treatment recommendations of a subcommittee of the Committee on Research on Psychiatric Treatments of the American Psychiatric Association that adults with major depression and other psychiatric illnesses...
should minimally consume 1 gram daily of omega-3 HUFAs or consume seafood 2 to 3 times per week, if only because these patients are at high risk for cardiovascular and other medical illnesses [152]. In more recent 2010 report of the Task Force on Complementary and Alternative Medicine of the American Psychiatric Association [122], certain alternative treatments for adults with major depressive disorder showed promising results, such as omega-3 fatty acids, hypericum (St. John’s Wort), S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAMe), folate, acupuncture, mindfulness psychotherapies, light therapy and exercise. In relation to omega-3 fatty acids, this review recommended more research is necessary to clarify whether EPA is more effective than EPA plus DHA, optimum doses, and the value of fish intake versus capsules. They also highlighted the need for preventive studies, and the evaluation of omega-3 as a treatment intervention in recurrent major depressive disorder both as an adjunct and monotherapy. The American Psychiatric Association report also highlighted concerns about confounding variables, such as smoking (which can inhibit absorption of omega-3s into red blood cells) and methods to control for total omega-3 intake obtained from the diet in research trials [122].

Cautionary Findings on Omega-3 Supplementation

Not all research is clear-cut, of course, and negative findings can be as important and insightful as positive outcomes. There are a number of studies which have investigated HUFAs in behavior, ADHD symptoms, school attendance, and physical aggression in youth and which have reported little or no effect of omega-3 supplementation [108, 110–112, 126].

Hirayama et al [126] conducted a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study in 40 children (aged 6–12 years) with ADHD who were placed on a specific diet (fermented soybean milk, steamed bread and bread rolls) that was fortified with either fish oil (n = 20, containing DHA 514 mg daily) or placebo (n = 20, olive oil 1,300 mg daily). At baseline and 2 months later, measurements were obtained of DSM-IV ADHD symptoms (hyperactivity, impulsivity, inattention), aggression (reported by teachers and parents), visual perception, visual and auditory short-term memory, visual-motor integration, a continuous performance task measuring sustained attention, and impatience. After two months of treatment, the DHA treatment group did not improve on any ratings of ADHD-related symptoms compared to the olive oil group. In fact, the DHA group showed significantly less improvement on commission errors on the continuous performance test (a marker of impulsivity) and on visual short-term memory. These negative results highlight several methodological issues:

- The selection of fatty acid supplement: Although the dose of DHA was moderate, previous studies using DHA-rich oils have also been found ineffective at improving cognitive functioning and ADHD-type symptoms. It seems that DHA alone is not effective in ameliorating ADHD symptoms. The dose of EPA (100 mg daily) in the fish oil group was extremely low and unlikely to have any noticeable effect.

- Choice of placebo: Olive oil is bioactive, with known anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects, so its use as a control treatment is questionable. There was no indication of the amount of DHA or EPA that was received by the placebo-treated group in their fortified diet or olive oil.
• Bioavailability of the fatty acids: Arguably, the fortification process may have lowered the bioavailability of the fatty acids, whereas a direct source of HUFAs would be more potent.

• Short treatment duration: Without knowing the pre-supplementation blood fatty acids levels, it is difficult to know whether a longer trial than 2 months was needed to correct a nutrient deficiency.

• There is such wide variation of EPA and DHA that researchers would be advised to measure it in finger prick blood tests in order to allocate a more appropriate dose.

Itomura et al [167] conducted a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial to test whether fish oil could reduce aggression in a normative population of 166 school children (ages 9 to 12 years). Similar to Hirayama’s study, the participants were randomized to receive fortified foods with fish oil (bread, sausage and spaghetti containing DHA 514 mg plus EPA 120 mg daily) or placebo (n = 83) for 3 months. A self-rated questionnaire did not identify an effect of fish oil on physical aggression (although physical aggression ratings increased in girls in the control sample). When aggressive tendencies were assessed by psychological testing, fish oil had the unexpected effect of appearing to increase aggressive responses, while the controls remained unchanged. When DSM-IV ADHD behavioral impulsivity symptoms were assessed by parents, fish oil appeared to reduce impulsivity in girls (relative to controls), but not in boys. The findings paint a somewhat mixed and confusing picture, and they suggest a variety of methodological problems, especially in the assessment instruments, in addition to the questions raised by the Hirayama study. Furthermore, in this study, the authors mention that a control sausage contained EPA 40 mg and DHA 80 mg, but clearly control foods should not have contained any of the active omega-3 intervention at all. Otherwise, this means that the placebo was not a true placebo, a problem that was not adequately discussed by the authors. Furthermore, both groups received the omega-6 linoleic acid (514 to 1,114 mg daily). The addition of linoleic acid in the fish oil group is a major confound, because omega-3 and omega-6 compete for incorporation into the red blood cells, and a higher amount of one would suppress the absorption of the other. In this case, omega-6 would have likely been the dominant fatty acid in the diet.

Both of these studies are extremely problematic from a methodological point of view, so it is hard to know how seriously to accept their somewhat contra-intuitive and inconsistent findings, but they are mentioned here mainly for completeness.

A final study by Hamazaki et al. [168] examined the effects of DHA-rich fish oil on behavior and school attendance in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial in school children (aged 9–12) in Indonesia, who received either omega-3 supplementation (DHA 650 mg and EPA 100 mg, n = 116) or placebo (soybean oil capsules, n = 117). Omega-3 supplementation did not appear to improve measures of aggression or impulsivity relative to placebo treatments. Interestingly, though, school absenteeism appeared reduced in the children treated with DHA-rich fish oil relative to the control group (OR 0.40, 95% CI = 0.23–0.71, p = 0.002). Although the effects of nutritional supplementation on endemic diseases might conceivably have been a mediating factor, this particular finding warrants
further exploration because school absenteeism is often linked with depression and anxiety in Western populations.

Collectively, these studies suggest that DHA-rich formulas show little or no effect in improving aggressive behaviors, ADHD symptoms, or cognitive performance, although these studies are particularly problematic from a methodological point of view. The fortified food studies appeared the least promising, and it is probably preferable to use more directly quantifiable sources of omega-3 fatty acids. Also, it may be speculated that a higher EPA-to-DHA ratio is needed for treating school children, consistent with the findings of a recent meta-analysis that suggested that higher EPA is associated with better clinical efficacy in improving symptoms of ADHD-type behavior [124]. More research is needed to answer these basic questions about dose and formulation in child populations.

**Safety and Adverse Effects**

Omega-3 supplementation is considered to be a safe intervention by the United States Food Drug Administration (FDA), which currently advises that dietary dosage of up to 3 grams daily of omega-3 fatty acids from marine sources are “Generally Recognized as Safe” (GRAS). The European Food Safety Authority has set a Safe Upper Limit of 5 grams daily for total omega-3 fatty acids, including DHA, EPA and docosapentaenoic acid. These standards are set for adults, with no separate designations for children or adolescents.

Currently, there is no established procedural system for reporting adverse events of food supplements, so systematically collected information is not available on a national scale. Several omega-3 products have been approved by the FDA for marketing in the United States as medications rather than as food supplements and the manufacturing companies have reported mostly minor but some severe side effects. In addition, there is some information about adverse effects from clinical research trials, some of which use relatively higher omega-3 dosing regimens. Given the lack of systematic data, the monitoring of adverse effects in research and clinical settings should receive higher prioritization. Nonetheless, some general observations on adverse effects can be made (see Table 1).

Omega-3 fatty acids can increase the incidence of excessive bleeding, including gastrointestinal bleeding and even hemorrhagic stroke. For patients who are anticipating surgery, it is advisable to make certain that surgeons are aware when their patients are consuming HUFAs, because many surgeons will want to discontinue their use 3 to 7 days prior surgery, depending on the type of surgery.

Gastrointestinal effects of omega-3 fatty acids are common, and may include loose stools, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, reduced appetite; some of these adverse effects may be mitigated by administration with meals or more gradual dose elevation. Omega-3 HUFAs may have hepato-protective properties, and omega-3s have been used to treat non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); but there have been reports of increased liver transaminases (mainly ALT).

Short-term memory loss and headache have been reported, although increasing the EPA-to-DHA ratio has been reported to be a possible treatment for headache [169].
Chronic treatment with HUFAs can cause vitamin E deficiency; so many clinicians provide advice about concurrent supplementation with Vitamin E, especially at higher HUFA doses. Also, many commercial HUFA products include Vitamin E as a preservative to reduce oxidation.

Many patients object to the fishy odor of some products, a result of the vulnerability of fatty acids to oxidation, which is especially problematic when weak manufacturing processes are used. High-quality preparations are also recommended to reduce the risks of exposure to potential contaminants, including mercury, dioxins, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), although many products are now manufactured in accordance with World Health Organization standards, which call for methods to reduce contaminants.

It should be emphasized that most data on the adverse effects of omega-3 HUFAs are derived from observations on adults, and the side effect profile might be somewhat different in children and adolescents.

**Conclusions**

Western diets can lead to imbalances in HUFA metabolism and alter molecular substrates in the brain. Omega-3 insufficiency and omega-6 excess can have particularly important effects on brain development, structure, and functioning. Malnutrition and inadequate nutrient intakes are well-established risk factors for both impaired cognitive development and adverse behavioral outcomes.

The case for nutritional intervention to reduce the burden of ADHD, aggressive and delinquent behaviors, and possibly major depressive disorder in youth is promising, but the research data at present are mainly suggestive. In adults, omega-3 HUFA supplementation is an inexpensive and safe adjunctive treatment for reducing symptom severity in mood disorders [150, 170] and depression [151]. The role of omega-3 in adults with ADHD has not yet been investigated, but current efforts are being undertaken by our research team in the Section of Nutritional Neurosciences at the National Institutes of Health.

In applying the early intervention research to clinical practice, it is notable that omega-3 HUFAs are “Generally Recognized as Safe” (GRAS) by the Food and Drug Administration in doses up to 3 grams daily for adults, with no added specifications concerning children. This designation does not indicate that higher levels are unsafe, but rather that most cardiovascular and other health benefits are likely to have been achieved by that level of intake.

The American Psychiatric Association has recommended at least one gram daily of omega-3 fatty acids, based on likely benefits for patients with certain psychiatric conditions as well as its more general medical benefits, but no specific APA recommendations have been offered for youth [152]. International guidelines recommend the consumption of a minimum of dose of 200 mg of DHA daily for pregnant and lactating women [171] to support the developing nervous system, but we are not aware of similar recommendations for children and adolescents. The Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010 recommend consumption of seafood 2 to 3 times per week for children [1]. For children who refuse to eat fish, dietary...
supplements, fortified foods, or smoothie drinks may be more feasible sources to ensure
daily recommended allowances.

In selecting a particular formulation of omega-3 fatty acids, clinicians should be aware that
there are marked differences in quality among manufacturers. Total concentrations and
ratios of omega-3 HUFAs vary widely across commercially available products (see Table 2).
In old, spoiled, or poorly manufactured products, oxidized omega fatty acid HUFAs smell
strongly of adverse fish odors. Fresh preparations have a clean smell akin to fresh seafood,
unless masked by other natural flavors such as lemon, lime or strawberry, and are more
desirable to consume. Naturally flavored emulsions or capsules may also be beneficial to
children or adults with olfactory neurosensory issues. In addition, honey placed on broiled
salmon (or any fish) may increase the likelihood of consumption by children.

Due to the small number of well-powered trials in adults and youth, more research is needed
to better determine the optimal ratios and doses of EPA and DHA. The majority of studies
indicate that symptom improvement in adults with depression and youth with ADHD
symptoms appears to be more likely using preparations containing higher levels of EPA than
DHA. The mechanisms of action of this tentative clinical finding are not well understood.

Supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids has proven to be safe, easy to use, and relatively
inexpensive and, despite open questions regarding mechanisms of action in different
psychiatric disorders, these treatments have a clear biological rationale. Preparations which
are EPA-rich (as opposed to DHA-rich) appear to be linked to improvement in symptoms of
both affect and cognition. However, more well-powered studies are needed in youths with
ADHD, conduct disorder, and mood disorders before clinical treatment recommendations
can be made (See Tables 3 and 4).
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Box 1: Explanation of Modified United States Preventive Services Task Force Grading System Used in Tables 3 and 4

Tables 3 and 4 use a modified form of the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) system

Each treatment is assigned a grade for “Quality of Evidence” and a grade for “Strength of Recommendations.”

The Quality of Evidence grade is a qualitative ranking of the strength of the published evidence in the medical literature regarding a treatment:

- Good
  Consistent benefit in well-conducted studies in different populations.
- Fair
  Data shows positive effects, but weak, limited, or indirect evidence.
- Poor
  Can’t show benefit due to data weakness.

The Strength of Recommendations grade provides a qualitative ranking of the clinical recommendations that can be drawn from findings of the studies:

- Insufficient Data
- Recommend Against
  Fair evidence of ineffectiveness or harm.
- Neutral
  Fair evidence for, but appears risky.
- Recommend
  Fair evidence of benefit and of safety.
- Recommend Strongly
  Good evidence of benefit and safety.

Adapted from U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Grade Definitions. May 2008.
Key Points

- Omega-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFAs) are critical for both structure and function of the brain.
- The omega-3 HUFA docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and the omega-6 HUFA arachidonic acid (AA) are especially critical for the development of the central nervous system.
- Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids have distinct roles and require a balance of omega-3/6 for optimal physical and mental health. An excessive intake of one fatty acid may inhibit the conversion of the other kind.
- EPA-rich formulas are linked to improvements in mood and ADHD symptoms.
- The APA Task Force on Complementary and Alternative Medicine recommended that the dietary intake of omega-3 for patients with poor impulse control, mood or psychotic disorders should include EPA + DHA at a daily dose of about one gram.
- Lower concentrations of omega-3 fatty acids have been present in both plasma and red blood cells of children and young adults with ADHD in comparison to healthy controls.
- Supplementation with omega-3 HUFAs in clinical trials have found some improvement in learning capacity and behavior in youths who are academically underachieving, have ADHD-like symptoms, and/or have severe misconduct.
- The relationship between nutritional deficiencies, in particular of omega-3 fats, and symptoms of mental ill-health, warrants closer examination by clinicians and mental health practitioners.
Figure 1.
Biochemical Pathways for the Omega-3 and Omega-6 Fatty Acids
Table 1

Potential Adverse Effects of Omega-3 HUFA Supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Adverse Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common (1 to 10%)</td>
<td>Dyspepsia, nausea, diarrhea, increased bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncommon (0.1 to 1%)</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal symptoms, upper abdominal pain, allergic hypersensitivity, dizziness, headache, increased low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels, increased alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, mania, depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare (1/1,000 to 1/10,000)</td>
<td>Hyperglycemia, headache, gastrointestinal pain, hepatotoxicity, acne, pruritic rash, ventricular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very rare (Less than 1/10,000)</td>
<td>Hypotension, urticaria, nasal dryness, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, hemorrhagic stroke, increased blood lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), hemolytic anemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency figures are estimates for the general population, and certain individuals may be especially vulnerable based on their medical status, concurrent medication usage, and other individual factors.
### Table 2

Contents and Ratios of Omega-3 HUFA's Vary Widely Across Commercially Available Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total oils in capsules/serving</th>
<th>Total Omega-3 HUFA (mg)</th>
<th>EPA (mg)</th>
<th>DHA (mg)</th>
<th>% of EPA in Total Omega-3 HUFA</th>
<th>Number of capsules needed to deliver HUFA at 2 grams daily</th>
<th>Daily Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unconcentrated fish oil (1,000 mg capsules)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7 caps</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecularly distilled (1,000 mg capsules)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4 caps</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly purified (1,100 mg capsules)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>2 caps</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal oils (625 mg capsules)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>4 caps</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal oils (500 mg capsules)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>~100%</td>
<td>10 caps</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid oil (highly concentrated) (1 teaspoon = 5 ml)</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>3/4 tsp</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion (highly concentrated) (15 ml serving)</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>20 ml (1.25 tablespoons)</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardines, canned in oil (3.75 oz)</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>2 cans</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon, Atlantic, farmed, broiled (3 oz)</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>3.3 oz</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White tuna, canned (3 oz)</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>8 oz</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 eggs (one egg, 50 gm)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>15 eggs</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Evidence-Based Treatment Evaluations Derived from the Medical Literature (Quality of Evidence / Strength of Recommendations for Each Treatment and Indication)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EPA Alone</th>
<th>DHA Alone</th>
<th>Fish Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>Good/Recommend</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Fair/Recommend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Fair/Recommend</td>
<td>Poor/Insufficient data</td>
<td>Fair/Recommend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression/Defiance</td>
<td>Fair/Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Fair/Recommend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Parameters</td>
<td>Fair/Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Good/Recommend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspraxia</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Good/Recommend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis for ADHD in Youth</td>
<td>Multiple RCTs</td>
<td>Multiple RCTs</td>
<td>Meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

Expert Clinical Opinion regarding HUFA Treatment of ADHD (Quality of Evidence / Strength of Recommendations for Each Treatment and Indication)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ADHD</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA &gt;60%</td>
<td>Good/Recommend</td>
<td>Effects are consistent, but not as large as stimulant medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA &gt;60%</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Case reports for decreased anxiety and disruptive behaviors are encouraging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish oil</td>
<td>Fair/Recommend</td>
<td>Effects may be larger for aggression and mood than for inattention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>