Transcutaneous yellow fever vaccination of subjects with or without atopic dermatitis
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Abstract

Background—Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common inflammatory skin disease with global prevalence ranging from 3% to 20%. AD patients have an increased risk for complications following viral infection (e.g., herpes simplex virus), and vaccination of AD patients with live vaccinia virus is contraindicated due to a heightened risk of eczema vaccinatum, a rare but potentially lethal complication associated with smallpox vaccination.

Objective—To develop a better understanding of immunity to cutaneous viral infection in AD patients.

Methods—in a double-blind, randomized study, we investigated the safety and immunogenicity of live attenuated yellow fever virus (YFV) vaccination of non-atopic (NA) subjects and AD patients following standard subcutaneous (SC) inoculation or transcutaneous (TC) vaccination administered with a bifurcated needle. Viremia, neutralizing antibody, and antiviral T cell responses were analyzed for up to 30 days post-vaccination.

Results—YFV vaccination by either route was well tolerated. SC vaccination resulted in higher seroconversion rates than TC vaccination but elicited similar antiviral antibody levels and T cell responses in both NA and AD groups. Following TC vaccination, both groups mounted similar
neutralizing antibody responses, but AD patients demonstrated lower antiviral T cell responses by 30 days after vaccination. Among TC-vaccinated subjects, a significant inverse correlation between baseline IgE levels and the magnitude of antiviral antibody and CD4+ T cell responses was observed.

**Conclusions**—YFV vaccination of AD patients by the TC route revealed that high baseline IgE levels provides a potential biomarker for predicting reduced virus-specific immune memory following TC infection with a live virus.
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**Introduction**

Atopic Dermatitis (AD) is a common inflammatory skin disease\(^1\) that causes substantial morbidity with costs of therapy, loss of work and disability estimated at $1-4 billion/year in the US\(^2\). Clinical observations indicate that AD patients have more severe cutaneous viral infections including herpes simplex virus and molluscum contagiosum\(^3\). Moreover, AD is a formal contraindication for smallpox vaccination due to risk of eczema vaccinatum, a rare but potentially life-threatening disease\(^4, 5\). Based on recommendations that individuals with AD or those who have family members with AD not be exposed to vaccinia virus, >30% of US military personnel refrained from smallpox vaccination in 2002\(^6\).

Little is known about the immune deficit predisposing AD patients to viral infections but we anticipated that immune defects might occur in the skin. The goal of this study was to vaccinate AD patients with an attenuated live virus vaccine to assess clinical and immunological deficits that might explain difficulties patients have with controlling viral infections. To better understand cutaneous and systemic antiviral immunity of AD patients, we examined immune responses following vaccination with the FDA-approved live-attenuated yellow fever-17D (YFV-17D) vaccine. Unlike most live virus vaccines, YFV-17D vaccination/infection can be performed by either subcutaneous (SC) vaccination (thus bypassing the skin) or by transcutaneous (TC) vaccination, performed similarly to traditional smallpox vaccination. Transcutaneous vaccination (referred to as, “scarification”) was practiced in Africa during the 1950’s and studies of mass vaccinations totaling >130,000 recipients documented that TC vaccination with YFV-17D was safe and immunogenic\(^7-9\). Also, from a public health cost perspective, our studies indicate that a single 0.5 mL SC dose of YFV-17D could be reconstituted in a small volume to provide up to 50 doses when administered by the TC route. This report documents a randomized, double-blind multicenter study of YFV-17D vaccination administered by either the TC or SC routes in patients with AD and in non-atopic (NA) controls.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

The protocol design was a randomized, double-blind, multicenter pilot study performed in the US (NCT00723489: Immune Response to Yellow Fever Vaccination in Adults with Atopic Dermatitis). From September 2008 through March 2011, we enrolled 82 subjects 27-43 years of age who were evenly divided into 4 groups: AD and NA controls who received SC vaccination or TC vaccination with YFV-17D. This age range was selected because there had been no cases of viscerotropic disease from YFV-17D vaccination in that age range\(^10\). Subjects were informed of the risks involved with YFV vaccination including risk of yellow fever vaccine-associated viscerotropic disease (YEL-AVD). Because of...
potential risk for YEL-AVD, enrollment was divided into 3 stages with interim safety analysis performed by an independent NIAID Data and Safety Monitoring Board after each stage. In the first stage, enrollment was limited to non-atopic (n=10) and mild AD subjects (n=10). In stage 2, enrollment was limited to non-atopic (n=15) and moderate AD subjects (n=15). In stage 3, enrollment was limited to non-atopic subjects (n=15) and moderate and severe AD subjects (n=15). Subjects were enrolled at three sites, Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU), National Jewish Health (NJH) and University of California San Diego (UCSD). Subjects were required to be off systemic corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive medications for 30 days and topical corticosteroids/calcineurin inhibitors for 1 week before vaccination. Inhaled steroids were restricted to no more than 440 mcg per day within 6 months prior to vaccination. Subjects provided written informed consent and the studies were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and approved by Institutional Review Boards at each center.

Study Groups and Vaccinations

Subjects with normal clinical laboratory tests and no history of flavivirus vaccination or infection and who had not traveled to Africa or South America were recruited for the study. Subjects with egg allergy or acute hypersensitivity to vaccine components were excluded. Women were required to have a negative pregnancy test. Subjects were vaccinated with YFV-17D (YF-VAX®, Sanofi Pasteur Inc.) by the standard SC route or the experimental TC route on non-lesional skin on the right or left deltoid or thigh. TC vaccination consisted of performing 5-15 jabs with a bifurcated needle using 5-fold concentrated vaccine (i.e., reconstituted in 1/5th standard volume), estimated to be equivalent to ~1×10^3 PFU and similar to the lowest effective dose used in previous trials. Using a double-dummy design, each subject received SC vaccination on one arm/thigh and TC vaccination on the contralateral arm/thigh with one inoculum containing YFV-17D and one containing placebo (vaccine diluent). TC vaccination sites were covered with an adhesive patch for 2 days and the site was swabbed at day 3 post-vaccination to assess virus shedding.

Primary Outcome: Neutralizing antibody responses

YFV-specific neutralizing antibody was measured by two approaches; the log_{10} neutralization index (LNI) which refers to a constant-serum-varying-virus-reduction test and the neutralizing titer 50 (NT_{50}) which refers to a constant-virus-varying-serum-reduction test.

Secondary Outcome: YFV Viremia and RNAemia

Infectious YFV was measured by plaque assay and YFV RNA was isolated from 500 μl serum obtained at 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, 10-11, 13-15, and 28-35 days post-vaccination. Although quantitative real-time PCR was not performed, this was not necessary to determine duration of RNAemia.

Secondary Outcome: YFV-specific T cell quantitation

Intracellular cytokine staining was used to measure T cell responses using YFV-17D purified by ultracentrifugation through 25% glycerol. PBMC, with or without YFV, were cultured for 18 hours with Brefeldin A added for the last 6 hours to block cytokine secretion and cells were stained and analyzed on an LSR Fortessa.

Serum IgE

Total serum IgE was measured using the ImmunoCAP System (Phadia/Thermofisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s directions.
Randomization and Masking

Subjects were randomized to receive YFV by SC or TC administration in a 1:1 ratio. Randomization took place according to a fixed schedule using a permuted block design. The randomization schedule was stratified by disease classification in study stage 1 (block sizes of 4 and 2), and by disease classification and gender in study stages 2 and 3 (block size of 2). Subjects were randomized via a centralized, automated, web-based randomization system that distributed subject vaccination route assignments on an individual basis after entry of stratification information by the site study coordinator. Site pharmacy personnel responsible for preparing the vaccine and vaccine administrators were unblinded but all other study personnel, including the subjects, physicians, and laboratory staff were blinded to vaccine designation.

Statistical Methods

Nonparametric survival curves for the duration of detectable YFV were estimated by maximum likelihood for interval-censored data, and differences in viral clearance rates between AD and NA controls were compared using generalized log-rank tests. Mean Day 30 antibody levels (log_{10} Neutralization Index and log_{10} transformed NT_{50} levels) and seroconversion rates between AD and NA controls were compared using two-independent sample t-tests and Fisher’s exact tests, respectively. Longitudinal cytokine responses (log_{10} transformed INFγ^{+}TNFα^{+}CD4^{+} and INFγ^{+}TNFα^{+}CD8^{+}) were modeled using mixed effects models with unstructured residual variance-covariance matrices. Study visit, atopic status, and their interaction were included as classification factors and baseline cytokine response as covariate, and linear contrasts were used to compare differences between AD and NA controls at Day 14 and Day 30. Associations between pre-vaccination log_{10} transformed total IgE levels and log_{10} transformed NT_{50} and cytokine levels were estimated by Pearson correlations.

Results

Study population, vaccinations and safety

A total of 123 subjects were screened, 82 were randomized and 81 received YFV vaccination by the SC or TC route (Figure 1). Safety assessments included vital signs, vaccination site reactions, adenopathy, hematology and blood chemistry. There were no serious adverse events (AEs) among 81 vaccinated subjects. AEs occurring in >5% of subjects are listed in Table 1. The AE incident rates were generally similar between groups, but headache and fatigue were more common in AD subjects. The primary immunological and virological analysis population included 77 subjects (43% male, 83% Caucasian, 3% African American, 14% other race) with a mean age of 33 years. Total IgE at baseline was significantly higher in AD patients than non-atopic controls (p = 0.0001). Of 81 vaccinated subjects included in the safety analysis, there were 8 subjects (3 AD, 5 NA) who were non-responders, i.e., negative for YFV RNAemia, viremia, and did not seroconvert by LNI or NT_{50} indicating that they had not been infected by the YFV-17D inoculum. Seven of 8 non-responders were in the TC group, suggesting inadequate viral penetration and infection of the skin. All subjects were included in determining seroconversion rates but since non-responders had not been infected and did not mount an antiviral immune response, they were excluded from subsequent RNAemia and immunological analyses.

Measurements of yellow fever virus replication

Viremia levels were low (<20 PFU/mL) and infrequent, with detection of live virus observed between 4-7 days post-vaccination in only 16% (6/38) and 10% (4/39) of subjects following SC or TC immunization, respectively and the few viremic samples that were
identified were equally distributed among AD patients and NA controls. Swabs of TC vaccination sites were negative for infectious virus by plaque assay. Analysis of viral replication by RT-PCR was more informative. Although there were 4 subjects who seroconverted without detectable YFV-17D RNA in serum (i.e., RNAemia), the other 65 analyzable subjects demonstrated measurable levels of RNAemia of varying duration (Figure 2).

Following SC vaccination, there was no statistically significant difference between duration of YFV-17D RNAemia in AD patients (SC-AD) and NA subjects (SC-NA) (p = 0.535). In contrast, AD patients (TC-AD) cleared RNAemia significantly faster than normal controls (TC-NA) after TC vaccination (p = 0.034). This was an unexpected finding and indicates that route of infection (TC vs. SC) may impact systemic viral replication. From a safety perspective, this also suggests that TC vaccination of AD patients with YFV-17D does not represent an increased risk for systemic spread.

Antiviral antibody and T cell responses after vaccination

Virus-specific neutralizing antibody represents the principal mechanism of protection against YFV. Seroconversion and protection are typically defined by a neutralizing antibody titer of >0.7 LNI, which is roughly estimated to be greater than an NT₅₀ of 1:10⁷-ref². Following SC vaccination, we obtained 97% seroconversion whereas after TC vaccination, the overall seroconversion rate was 82% (p = 0.059) (Figure 3A). Lower seroconversion by the TC route may have been due to technical features of TC vaccination with a bifurcated needle; during the first stage of the protocol, TC vaccination was performed using a “5 jab” technique resulting in 67% (6/9) seroconversion whereas in subsequent stages the “15 jab” technique was used, resulting in 87% (26/30) seroconversion.

There was no significant difference in antibody titers elicited after vaccination by the 5-jab or 15-jab technique when measured by LNI (p = 0.986) or by NT₅₀ (p = 0.247). Antibody responses, measured by LNI (Figure 3B) or NT₅₀ (Figure 3C) showed no significant differences among seroconverters, regardless of route (TC vs. SC) or group designation (SC-AD vs. SC-NA or TC-AD vs. TC-NA).

TC vaccination against YFV has not been previously examined in terms of antiviral T cell responses. SC vaccination with YFV-17D elicits a strong and polyfunctional virus-specific T cell response and is an excellent model for analyzing the interplay between innate and adaptive immunity. Following in vitro stimulation of PBMC with YFV-17D, virus-specific T cells producing two antiviral cytokines, IFNγ and TNFα, were enumerated by intracellular cytokine staining analysis (Figure 4A). Although the IFNγ+TNFα- T cell response was higher than the IFNγ+TNFα+ T cell response at day 14, by day 28-35, the majority of T cells co-expressed both IFNγ and TNFα (Figure 4A and data not shown). The total IFNγ+ T cell response did not have the same specificity as the IFNγ+TNFα+ T cell response due to higher frequencies of IFNγ+ T cells at day 0 (i.e., prior to vaccination). However, the trends for the total IFNγ+ T cell response (Figure E1 in Online Repository) were similar to that observed when IFNγ+ TNFα+ T cells were measured (Figure 4). YFV-specific CD4⁺ T cells peaked by 14 days after SC vaccination (Figure 4B), but after TC vaccination, CD4⁺ T cell responses were lower at 14 days post-vaccination and either remained flat or continued to increase by day 30 (Figure 4C, Figure E2 in Online Repository). We found no significant differences in CD4⁺ T cell responses between AD and NA groups following SC vaccination (Figure 4B, Figure E2 in Online Repository) whereas YFV-specific CD4⁺ T cell responses differed significantly at 30 days following TC vaccination (Figure 4C) (p = 0.036). YFV-specific CD8⁺ T cell responses peaked by 14 days after SC vaccination (Figure 4D) but were delayed following TC vaccination (Figure 4E, Figure E2 in Online Repository). Similar to antiviral CD4⁺ T cells, SC vaccination resulted
in CD8+ T cell responses that were indistinguishable between AD and NA groups (Figure 4D), but following TC vaccination antiviral CD8+ T cell responses showed a modestly significant difference (Figure 4E) (p = 0.045). Analysis of polyfunctional T cells that express three cytokines (i.e., IFNγ+TNFα+IL-2+) provided similar results; there was a significant difference in antiviral CD4+ T cell responses (p = 0.043) and CD8+ T cell responses (p = 0.0097) at 30 days after TC vaccination, but no significant difference between groups at 30 days after SC vaccination (p >0.50) (data not shown). There was also no significant effect of subject age on the outcome of antiviral T cell responses at day 14 (p >0.4) or day 30 (p >0.1) (data not shown). Together, these results demonstrate that when skin is bypassed by SC vaccination, there is no difference in antiviral T cell responses between AD patients and non-atopic controls, but if viral infection is initiated in skin via TC vaccination, AD patients demonstrate significantly lower YFV-specific T cell responses at later time points.

**Association between pre-existing total IgE and antiviral immunity**

AD patients often have elevated serum IgE and for some viral infections the highest levels of IgE are associated with more severe disease manifestations.19 We measured the magnitude of antiviral antibody (Figure 3) and T cell responses (Figure 4) after YFV vaccination, and compared these parameters to total serum IgE levels at baseline (Figure 5, Table E1 in Online Repository). Following SC vaccination (Figure 5A), there was no significant correlation between neutralizing antibody responses and pre-existing IgE levels for AD patients (p = 0.12) or NA controls (p = 0.88). Following TC vaccination (Figure 5B), there was a trend towards a significant inverse correlation between IgE and neutralizing antibody levels in NA subjects (95% CI: −0.82 to 0.04, p = 0.057) and a modestly significant inverse correlation between IgE and antibody titers in AD patients (95% CI: −0.77 to −0.01, p = 0.041). The results of Figure 5B are consistent with Figure 3C, in which there is a trend towards lower NT50 titers (although not significant, p=0.102) following transcutaneous vaccination of AD vs. NA subjects. When all TC-vaccinated subjects were analyzed together, the inverse correlation became highly significant (95% CI: −0.75 to −0.25, p <0.001). In contrast, combined analysis of all subjects who received SC vaccination did not alter the outcome; there was no significant correlation between IgE and antiviral antibody levels following immunization by the SC route (95% CI: −0.48 to 0.17, p = 0.32).

Analysis of antiviral T cell responses indicated a dichotomy between the two arms of the cellular immune response. As with the relationship between IgE and neutralizing antibody responses, YFV-specific CD4+ T cell responses showed a significant inverse correlation with IgE levels following TC vaccination (95% CI: −0.73 to −0.09, p = 0.015; Figure 5D) but not after SC vaccination (95% CI: −0.45 to 0.27, p = 0.58; Figure 5C). YFV-specific CD8+ T cell responses showed no significant relationship with baseline IgE titers in AD or NA groups regardless of receiving TC or SC vaccination (Figure 5E and 5F). Together, these results indicate that, unlike CD8+ T cells, the CD4+ T cell response elicited by AD patients was significantly reduced in patients with high baseline IgE, but this is found only when YFV-17D infection is initiated in the skin and this relationship no longer exists when skin is bypassed by SC vaccination.

**Discussion**

The goal of this study was to develop a better understanding of the immune responses of AD subjects following cutaneous viral infection. No significant differences in antiviral responses were observed between mild versus moderate/severe AD (data not shown). In contrast, comparisons between TC and SC vaccination with a live virus vaccine (YFV-17D) revealed differences in the kinetics/magnitude of antiviral T cell responses and AD patients with higher baseline IgE were more likely to develop lower antiviral antibody and CD4+ T cell
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responses. Further studies will be needed to determine if IgE might serve as a biomarker of potential immunogenicity in response to transcutaneous/transdermal vaccination strategies in other patient populations.

Although AD patients have higher total serum IgE levels than non-atopic controls, it does not appear that they have a substantial deficit in innate or early adaptive immune responsiveness to YFV infection of the skin since AD patients cleared YFV RNAemia more rapidly than their non-atopic counterparts (Figure 2). Virus-specific IgE levels were not measured in this study and it is unknown if non-specific total IgE is involved with immune regulation in this model. More likely, it simply represents an indicator of immune responsiveness to transcutaneous YFV vaccination. Moreover, there is little known about the levels of IgE in the skin and more studies are needed in order to learn if cutaneous IgE levels are elevated in AD patients. The rapid clearance of viremia by AD patients, however, is an important observation because a small vaccination study performed in cynomolgus macaques using recombinant strains of YFV-17D expressing other flavivirus genes (e.g., JEV envelope) showed that 3/3 animals that received chimerivax-JEV transcutaneously with a prototype microenhancer array (MEA) abrasion device had higher and more prolonged viremia than animals that received standard subcutaneous vaccination\(^{20}\). Fortunately, our data in 39 human subjects indicates that following non-recombinant YFV-17D vaccination there was no evidence for increased viremia following transcutaneous vaccination. Likewise, another clinical study involving intradermal YFV vaccination found no major difference in viral RNA levels at 5 days post-vaccination in comparison with subcutaneous vaccination\(^{21}\), further suggesting that this may be a safe approach to vaccine delivery. However, larger clinical studies will be needed to determine the safety of TC versus SC vaccination against yellow fever.

In terms of vaccine-induced cellular immunity, the initial antiviral CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cell responses of AD patients measured at 14 days post-infection were not significantly lower than in NA controls but responses were significantly lower at one month post-vaccination in the TC-vaccinated cohort (Figure 4). Whether lower T cell responses in AD patients observed at later time points are due to weaker antiviral immune memory or to lower antigenic load resulting in more rapid memory T cell contraction is a question that will require further study. We do not believe that genetics or overt immunosuppression are factors in the lower immune responses elicited by AD patients after TC vaccination since AD patients mount normal antiviral immune responses when vaccinated by the SC route. In any case, the strong inverse relationship between neutralizing antibody responses and antiviral CD4\(^+\) T cell responses with baseline IgE levels represents an intriguing finding – especially since it is only observed during TC infection and is not found in cases in which the skin is bypassed by SC injection/infection (Figure 5).

This study represents the first immunological and virological examination of transcutaneous YFV vaccination performed in >40 years. Although our study is not powered to identify rare adverse events\(^{22,23}\), prior studies involving >130,000 vaccinees indicate that TC vaccination with YFV-17D is safe, with no serious adverse events recorded\(^{7-9}\). Using a 15-jab approach to TC vaccination, we obtained 87% seroconversion with neutralizing antibody levels well above the LNI = 0.7 level required for protective immunity. It is unclear whether TC vaccination will provide a safety benefit over SC vaccination, but it may provide a mechanism for decreasing vaccine cost and increasing supplies in times of vaccine shortage because a single SC dose of YFV-17D can provide ~50 doses when delivered by TC inoculation. This is key because YFV immunization campaigns can be hampered by vaccine shortages and intradermal YFV vaccination has also been shown to work well with a 1/5\(^{th}\) dose typically used for subcutaneous vaccination\(^{21}\). There is growing interest in developing intradermal vaccination strategies\(^{24-27}\) and several studies have targeted the skin to enhance
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vaccine immunogenicity.\textsuperscript{28-30} However, these protocols did not specifically include patients with skin diseases. Considering that AD is a common health problem, these patients as well as those with other skin diseases, should be evaluated during early stage trials involving cutaneous delivery. In addition, biomarkers such as total IgE may prove useful to identify population subsets that may respond differently to cutaneous infection or vaccination.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YFV</td>
<td>yellow fever virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>atopic dermatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>non-atopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>transcutaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>subcutaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT\textsubscript{50}</td>
<td>neutralizing titer 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNI</td>
<td>log neutralizing index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF\textsubscript{α}</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor-alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN\textsub{γ}</td>
<td>interferon-gamma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Clinical Implications

Clinical trials involving vaccination through the skin should include AD patients – especially since this group can differ significantly in terms of their immune responses to skin-associated vaccine delivery.
Figure 1.
Flow diagram of study subjects participating in the trial
Figure 2. Duration of YFV RNAemia after subcutaneous or transcutaneous vaccination
Kaplan-Meier curves show the proportion of YFV-seroconverted subjects who score positive for YFV RNA by RT-PCR during the first two weeks after vaccination. The limit of detection was <1 PFU/ml for serum spiked with purified YFV.
Abbreviations; AD, atopic dermatitis; NA, non-atopic; TC, transcutaneous; SC, subcutaneous TC-AD, n = 18; TC-NA, n = 14; SC-AD, n = 17; SC-NA, n = 20.
Figure 3. Induction of neutralizing YFV-specific antibody responses following subcutaneous or transcutaneous vaccination

(A) At 30 days after YFV vaccination, seroconversion rates were determined for the different vaccine groups and was defined as a YFV-specific neutralizing titer of 10 or more. (B) Levels of YFV neutralizing antibody among seropositive subjects were measured by the log neutralizing index (LNI) in which serum is held constant and different amounts of virus are neutralized. (C) Levels of YFV neutralizing antibody among seropositive subjects were measured by determining the serum dilution required to neutralize 50% of virus plaques (held constant at 50-100 YFV plaques). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
The frequency of virus-specific T cells was measured by stimulating PBMC with purified YFV and measuring the frequency of IFNγ+TNFα+ T cells at each time point by intracellular cytokine staining analysis. Representative flow cytometry dot plot showing the virus-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell response after YFV vaccination (A). The dot plots were pre-gated on CD4+CD8− and CD4−CD8+ T cells and show the number of events per 10^6 CD4+ or CD8+ T cells after background subtraction (medium alone). The kinetics and magnitude of YFV-specific CD4+ T cells (B and C) or CD8+ T cells (D and E) were measured in subjects vaccinated by the subcutaneous route (B and D) or the transcutaneous route (C and E). The numbers indicate measured P values at each time point and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 5. Comparison between baseline total IgE levels and YFV vaccine-induced immune responses

Total serum IgE levels obtained at baseline were compared to subsequent YFV vaccine-induced immune responses at 30 days post-vaccination and included YFV-specific neutralizing titers (NT_{50}) (A and B), YFV-specific CD4^{+} T cell responses (C and D) and YFV-specific CD8^{+} T cell responses (E and F) in subjects vaccinated by the subcutaneous route (A, C, and E) or the transcutaneous route (B, D, and F). The red symbols represent AD patients and the blue symbols represent non-atopic (NA) subjects and the red or blue ellipses represent 95% confidence interval ellipses.
Clinical adverse events experienced by >5% of subjects following yellow fever vaccination. Eighty-one subjects received SC or TC YFV vaccination. One subject withdrew consent before being vaccinated. Seventy-seven subjects were included in the overall analysis population; including 38 AD patients and 39 non-atopic controls. One subject was excluded due to pre-existing YFV-specific antibody at baseline; one subject had high blood glucose levels; one subject used a prohibited medication, and despite a negative urine pregnancy test at the time of vaccination, one woman became pregnant with a positive urine test at 34 days after vaccination and discontinued participation in the study but was included in the safety analysis. The pregnancy was uneventful and she gave birth to a healthy child. Safety assessments were made on days 3, 5, 7, 10, 14 and 30 after vaccination. Grading and attribution of AEs followed the criteria set forth in the Toxicity Grading Scale for Healthy Adult and Adolescent Volunteers Enrolled in Vaccine Clinical Trials guidelines, ranging from Grade 1-4 (Sept 2007). Over ninety percent of AEs were mild or moderate. All but three of 13 Grade 3 AEs and each of 3 Grade 4 AE’s were transient laboratory abnormalities (mostly increased cholesterol levels), none considered relevant to vaccine. Abnormal laboratory values unrelated to vaccination are therefore not included in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>SC+AD (N=19)</th>
<th>SC+NA (N=21)</th>
<th>TC+AD (N=23)</th>
<th>TC+NA (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>4 (21)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application site pain</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>